

CARLOW COUNTY COUNCIL

Minutes of Special Meeting of Carlow County Council to consider the amendment of the Draft County Development Plan 2022 – 2028 and the Chief Executive’s Report on the submissions and to consider the making of the County Development plan in the George Bernard Shaw Theatre, Visual, Carlow, on Monday 23rd May 2022 at 2.00 p.m. and remotely via Microsoft Teams.

In Attendance:

Cllr. F. Phelan (Cathaoirleach)
Cllr. F. Browne
Cllr. J. Cassin
Cllr. A. Dalton
Cllr. M. Doran
Cllr. A. Gladney
Cllr. T. Kinsella
Cllr. A. McDonald
Cllr. J. McDonald
Cllr. K. Murnane
Cllr. C. Murphy
Cllr. J. Murphy
Cllr. B. O’ Donoghue
Cllr. T. O’ Neill
Cllr. W. Paton
Cllr. J. Pender
Cllr. W. Quinn

Remote:

Cllr. A. Wallace

In Attendance:

Ms. K. Holohan, Chief Executive
Mr. M. Rainey, Director of Service, Corporate, HR, Planning, Health & Safety, Security & Economic Development
Mr. P. O’Gorman, Director of Service, Transportation, Environment & Water Services, Building Control & Emergency Services
Ms. N. Lawler, Senior Executive Officer, Planning
Ms. A. Sweeney, Senior Planner
Mr. W. Keogh, Senior Executive Planner
Mr. P.J. Leonard, Financial Accountant

Ms. L. O’Callaghan, Administrative Officer, Corporate
Ms. S. Byrne, Acting Assistant Staff Officer, Corporate

The Cathaoirleach welcomed all to the meeting. The Cathaoirleach stated that recommendations of the Chief Executive on submissions received on the Draft Carlow County Development Plan 2022 – 2028 had been circulated to the Elected

Members for consideration. He then proceeded to outline the procedures for voting on the material amendments.

Ms. Lorna O' Callaghan, Administrative Officer, brought to the attention of the Elected Members the requirement under Part 15 of the Local Government Act 2001, in relation to the Disclosure of Interests. She advised that any member or any connected person who has a pecuniary or other beneficial interest on any item in the subject matter before the meeting must disclose the nature of that interest.

Cllr. Phelan advised the meeting that a relative who is not a connected party under the legislation owned lands at Tullow Road, Carlow Town the subject of CLW-C14-13 (Amendment no's 109 and 169) and Cllr. Phelan advised he would remove himself from the meeting whilst that item was being discussed and the Leas-Cathaoirleach would chair the meeting.

The meeting then proceeded to consider the recommendations of the Chief Executive in the document circulated to the Elected Members.

Ms. Anita Sweeney, Senior Planner gave a presentation to the members and updated the members on the process to date formulating the County Development Plan and advised the Elected Members that should they decide to accept the proposed amendments, they may do so subject to any modifications to the amendments as they consider appropriate. In accordance with Section 12(10) (c) of the planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended), any such modifications:

- Shall be minor in nature and therefore not likely have significant effects on the environment or adversely affect the integrity of a European site
- Shall not increase the area of land zoned for any purpose; and,
- Shall not comprise an addition to or deletion from the Record of Protected Structures.

In making the Development Plan under subsection (6) or (10), the members shall be restricted to considering the proper planning and sustainable development of the area to which the Development Plan relates, the statutory obligations of any local authority in the area and any relevant policies or objectives for the time being of the Government or any Minister of the Government.

Ms. Anita Sweeney also advised that following consideration of the Chief Executive's Report, the proposed Material Amendments to the Draft plan, including the Environmental Reports prepared in preparation of the Plan, the Elected Members shall, by resolution, make the Plan with or without the amendments.

Ms. Anita Sweeney, Senior Planner advised of the Material Amendments divided into different categories listed as follows;

- I. Material Amendments No Submission's Received**
- II. Material Amendments – Submission Received – No change recommendation to adopt.**
- III. Material Amendments – Submission Received. Recommendation – Material Amendment subject to Minor modification.**
- IV. Material Amendments – Submission Received. Recommendation – Not To Adopt.**

V. Submission of the Office of the Planning Regulator.

Relevant Documents can be accessed on line as follows:

- (i) The Material Alterations Report on the Draft Carlow County Development Plan 2022-2028 can be accessed at the following link: [Proposed Material Amendments to the Draft Carlow County Development Plan 2022-2028 | Carlow County Council's Online Consultation Portal](#)
- (ii) The Chief Executive's Report on Proposed Amendments to Draft Carlow County Development Plan 2022-2028 can be accessed at the following link: [Proposed Material Amendments to the Draft Carlow County Development Plan 2022-2028 - Outcomes | Carlow County Council's Online Consultation Portal](#)

I. Material Amendments No Submission's Received

Ms. Anita Sweeney, Senior Planner, addressed the meeting advising that 107 proposed amendments were advertised and were not subject to any submissions received. The Material Amendments as advertised and previously agreed were noted by the Elected Members. Proposed Amendments are highlighted in green text hereunder with deletions in red strikethrough.

The Material Amendments as advertised and previously agreed were noted by the Elected Members.

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
Chapter 1 Introduction and Context	
No. 1 (Vision)	Section 1.0 and Section 1.6 Strategic Themes and Objectives (Amendment No. 1) Insert additional text in green in Chapter 1 'Vision' (page 1) and Section 1.6 'Strategic Themes and Objectives' (page 13) as follows: The Vision for County Carlow is to champion quality of life through local employment provision, high quality development, healthy placemaking and transformational regeneration, to grow and attract a diverse innovative economy, to support the transition from a linear to a circular economy , and to a low carbon climate resilient environment delivering in a manner that embraces inclusiveness and enhances our natural and built environment for future generations.
No. 2 (SEA)	(Amendment No. 2) Insert additional text in green in Section 1.2.1 'Strategic Environmental Assessment' (page 2) as follows: Environmental assessment is a procedure that ensures that the environmental implications of decisions are considered before such decisions are made. Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is the term which has been given to the environmental assessment of plans and programmes, which help determine the nature and location of individual projects taking place. SEA is a systematic process of predicting and evaluating the likely significant environmental effects of implementing a proposed plan or programme, in order to ensure

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	that these effects are adequately addressed at the earliest stages of decision-making, in tandem with economic, social and other considerations. All plans and programmes ¹ to be adopted by the Council shall comply with the requirements set out in the SEA Directive (Directive 2001/42/EC) and transposing Regulations. The SEA process was integrated into the preparation of the County Development Plan and the SEA Environmental Report is contained as a separate document accompanying the Plan (Appendix I).
No. 3 (SO re: Ecosystems)	(Amendment No. 3) Amend Section 1.6 'Strategic Themes and Objectives', Strategic Objective SO 10 (page 14-15):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows: SO 10: Conserve protect and enhance the County's Green Infrastructure and ecosystem services supporting the sustainable management of natural assets and the biodiversity of the County's protected habitats and species to provide a wide range of environmental, social and economic benefits to communities including ecosystems and habitats) and associated biodiversity and so provide communities with a wide range of environmental, social and economic benefits (ecosystem services).
Chapter 2 Core Strategy and Settlement Strategy	
No. 5 (Carlow Town – Biodiversity)	(Amendment No. 5) Insert additional after Policy CS P4, Section 2.8.1 'Key Town – Carlow' (page 25), new text in green as follows: Protect, strengthen and enhance the biodiversity and ecological function of the green infrastructure network in Carlow Town, including the River Barrow, which is a designated Special Area of Conservation.
No. 6 (District Towns)	(Amendment No. 6) Insert additional Policy after CS P7, Section 2.8.2 'District Towns – Policies' (page 26), new text in green as follows: To support the continued growth and sustainable development of Tullow and Muine Bheag with appropriate levels of growth as provided in Table 2.7 Core Strategy. As part of the review of the Local Area Plan sustainable compact growth of town centre zoned sites will be prioritised together with a renewed focus on the town centre first principles and the appropriate development of regeneration / opportunity sites.
No. 9 (Active Land Management)	(Amendment No. 9) Insert additional Objective after CS O4, Section 2.23.2 'Active Land Management' (page 43), new text in green as follows: Actively promote the redevelopment and renewal of areas in need of regeneration through appropriate active land management measures including availing of statutory powers under the Derelict Sites Act, Housing Act, Vacant Site Levy and supporting implementation of the Residential Zoned Land Tax together with other statutory provisions to actively promote regeneration of urban and rural areas within the County.
No. 10 (Monitoring and Evaluation)	(Amendment No. 10) Insert additional Policy after CS P12, Section 2.24 'Monitoring and Evaluation' (page 43), new text in green as follows: As part of the two-year progress report to review and report on the implementation of active land management measures within the

¹ As defined by Article 2(a) of the SEA Directive, 'plans and programmes' shall mean plans and programmes, including those co-financed by the European Community, as well as any modifications to them: — which are subject to preparation and/or adoption by an authority at national, regional or local level or which are prepared by an authority for adoption, through a legislative procedure by Parliament or Government, and — which are required by legislative, regulatory or administrative provisions

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	County, to monitor progress in activation of lands and to establish measurable targets thereafter for monitoring on an annual basis.
No. 11 (National Roads)	(Amendment No. 11) Insert additional text in green to CS O9, Section 2.25 'Core Strategy Objectives' (page 44) as follows: Promote the integration of spatial planning and transport policy, ensure the maintenance of the strategic function of the national roads' network in the County, and promote sustainable public transport including a public bus service for Carlow town and active travel alternatives throughout the County.
Chapter 3 Housing – Material Alterations	
No.12 (HNDA)	(Amendment No. 12) Amend Section 3.4 'Housing Strategy / Housing Need Demand Assessment and Policy HS P5 (pages 49- 50):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows: The Housing Strategy has determined that 926 households will not meet the affordability criteria for private ownership or private rental. These households are considered to require social and affordable housing. This equates to 29.8% of the anticipated households during the plan period. Additional analysis pursuant to the Affordable Housing Act 2021 has determined that 10.7% of households will not be able to afford private market housing but will not be eligible for social housing, and will require affordable housing tenures. In accordance with the Urban Regeneration and Housing Act 2015 Affordable Housing Act 2021 there will be a requirement for up to 40% 20% social and affordable housing in developments on lands in respect of which permission for the development of houses is granted zoned for residential use or a mixture of residential and other uses with more than nine four residential units. Full details of the Housing Strategy are set out in Appendix IV. HS P5: To apply a 10% social housing and up to 10% affordable housing requirement, pursuant to Part V of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended), to land zoned for residential use, or for a mixture of residential and other uses, in respect of which permission for the development of houses is granted, except where development would be exempt from this requirement. Affordable housing requirements will be subject to the demand for and viability of affordable housing on individual sites; the Council reserves the right to determine the appropriateness of 'Part V' affordable housing delivery for individual sites on a case-by-case basis.
No. 15 (RHP)	(Amendment No. 15) Amend Table 3.5 Rural Housing Policy Zone 1 'Category 2: Criteria for Functional Social Requirement' (page 64):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows: The applicant shall demonstrate with relevant documentary proof that they have a <i>functional social requirement</i> to live in this rural area, and wish to build a home for their own use. This includes persons who can demonstrate that they are living or have lived full-time in the local rural area for a minimum of 7 5 consecutive years at any stage prior to the making of the planning application, including returning migrants seeking a permanent home in their local rural area. For the purposes of this policy, 'local rural area' is defined as a site within an 8km radius of where the applicant is living or has lived.
No. 16 ((RHP – Zone 2 SAC)	(Amendment No. 16) Insert additional Criteria to Rural Housing Policy Zone 2 Section 3.16.2 'Rural Generated Housing Need' (page 65), new text in green as follows: (c) Any single housing developments within Rural Housing Policy Zone 2 will be required to demonstrate that new on-site wastewater

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	treatment systems associated with single housing in Rural Housing Policy Zone 2, alone and in combination with existing systems, will not result in significant adverse effects on the River Slaney Valley Special Area of Conservation, including the Freshwater Pearl Mussel, which is a qualifying interest of the site.
No. 17 (RPH)	<p>(Amendment No. 17) Amend Rural Housing Policy No. 5 Section 3.16.4 'Persons Residing in Bordering Counties – Policy' (page 66):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows: It is the policy of the Council to:</p> <p>RH P5: Consider applications for single housing in the countryside from applicants in neighbouring counties who are living or have lived full-time for a minimum period of 107 consecutive years at a location within a 3km radius of the Carlow County border, at any stage prior to the making of the planning application, and subject to the following:</p> <p>Where Rural Housing Policy Zone 1 applies, the applicant shall demonstrate compliance with Category 1, parts (a), (b) and (c), or with Category 2, parts (a), (b) and (c). Where Rural Housing Policy Zone 2 applies, the applicant shall demonstrate compliance with parts (a) and (b). The proposed site shall be within an 8km radius of where the applicant is living or has lived full-time for a minimum period of 107 consecutive years.</p>
No. 19 (Ref: SuDS Manual)	<p>(Amendment No. 19) Amend Section 3.16.5 (vii) 'Siting and Design – Policies' (page 67) :- deleted text in red, insert new text in green, as follows:</p> <p>(vii) The ability of a site to accommodate an appropriate on-site surface water management system in accordance with Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDS) measures, Carlow County Council SuDS Policy, Urban Drainage Systems (SuDS) B.S 8301:1985 the 'SuDS Manual' CIRIA C753, and BRE Digest 365.</p>
No. 20 (Bat Survey)	<p>(Amendment No. 20) Insert additional text in green to Section 3.16.11 'Replacement of Derelict Houses in the Countryside – Policy RH P13' (page 68), as follows:</p> <p>Facilitate the sensitive replacement of a structurally unsound derelict house (i.e. structure in ruinous or dangerous condition) as an alternative to the construction of a new single house elsewhere in the countryside. The development proposal, in terms of siting, scale, layout and design, shall be sympathetic to the character of the original structure and the site. Documentary evidence in the form of a structural survey and photographs shall be submitted in support of the application, and a bat survey report as required and appropriate. Subject to the findings of the survey, a derogation licence under the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (as amended) may be required. All other normal siting and design requirements will be applied, and the applicant/occupant will not be required to comply with rural housing policy criteria.</p>
Chapter 4 Enterprise and Employment	
No. 21 (Economic Resilience)	<p>(Amendment No. 21) Insert additional text in green to Section 4.0 'Introduction' (page 71), as follows:</p> <p>Planning shapes the environment in which people live and work and it plays a key role in supporting the wider national economic, employment and enterprise objectives. With the establishment of the Local Enterprise Office under the Local Government Reform Act 2014, Carlow County Council has a more direct role in supporting</p>

Material Alterations – No submission Received

	<p>economic development and employment opportunities by the preparation of a Local Economic and Community Plan, providing and supporting the delivery of infrastructure, implementing urban and rural economic initiatives with associated economic supports, by the zoning of appropriate lands for employment purposes and by ensuring that planning policy and objectives are supportive of business.</p> <p>It is recognised that the opportunities for economic growth and investment in the immediate future are impacted arising from the Covid 19 Pandemic. The Council will seek to build economic resilience by supporting an inherent part of the economic strategy for the Southern Region which focuses on building capacity while being responsive to emerging challenges. It acknowledges the need to ensure sufficient capacity is available in the Southern Region to bid for and win competitive bids for funding and to be ready to address potential risks to the economy. A key focus for the Council will be to develop and strengthen its bidding capacity, to identify infrastructure deficits and opportunities, to prepare strong business cases, to identify funding sources, to bid, and successfully attract competitive funding in accordance with RPO 70.</p>
<p>No. 23 (Lifelong Learning)</p>	<p>(Amendment No. 23) Insert additional text to Section 4.4.3 'Education and Skills' (page 85), new text in green as follows: The RSES also recognises that lifelong learning and access to a variety of opportunities beyond school, is increasingly important. The role of employers in the development and provision of learning to ensure its relevance to the needs of the workforce is also supported.</p>
<p>No. 24 (Learning Region)</p>	<p>(Amendment No. 24) Insert additional Policy to Section 4.4.3 'Education and Skills-Policies' (page 85), new text in green as follows: ES P6: Support the development of the Southern Region as a Learning Region in support of RPO 186 and RPO 190 in partnership with the Regional Assembly, Local Authorities and other agencies as appropriate.</p>
<p>No. 25 (Bulky Goods)</p>	<p>(Amendment No. 25) Amend Section 4.7.3 'Floorspace Requirements and Strategic Guidance on the Distribution of Floor Space' (page 92):- deleted text in red, new text in green, as follows: The lack of capacity for bulky comparison floorspace has been identified in the Retail Strategy. Additional floorspace has not been allocated for bulky comparison goods. However, this should not preclude bulky comparison floorspace (i.e. retail warehousing) in instances where it can be demonstrated that there will be a contribution to town centre vitality and viability due to its location, quality, accessibility and retail offer. The quantitative assessment of the Retail Strategy has highlighted the lack of capacity for bulky comparison floorspace. However, a capacity assessment does not deal with the quality of floorspace which in the case of Carlow is quite poor in places and poorly located. In this context, it is not considered appropriate to make any floorspace allocation as part of this Retail Strategy. However, this should not preclude bulky comparison floorspace (i.e. retail warehousing) in instances where it can be demonstrated that there will be a contribution to town centre vitality and viability due to its location, quality, accessibility and retail offer. There should also be an evidenced based approach to any new bulky comparison floorspace and all planning applications should include a full retail impact statement which addresses existing bulky comparison provision in the town including as appropriate any</p>

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	change of use of existing retail bulky comparison premises elsewhere within the town to other non-retail uses since the making of this Retail Strategy. There are some issues with respect to the existing bulky comparison floorspace in terms of quality and location. Therefore, there will be opportunities to transition this floorspace to other non-retail uses over time and this will begin to address the capacity issue and support the case for more conforming bulky comparison floorspace at appropriate locations.
No. 26 (Out of Town Retail)	(Amendment No. 26) Insert additional Policy to Section 4.8 ‘Retail Policies’ (page 96), new text in green as follows: RT P14: There will be a general presumption against large out-of-town retail centres in particular those located adjacent or close to existing, new or planned national roads/motorways, in accordance with the provisions of Retail Planning Guidelines for Planning Authorities, 2012.
Chapter 5 Sustainable Travel and Transport	
No. 28 (DMURS)	(Amendment No. 28) Insert additional text in green to Section 5.1.6 ‘Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets’ (page 103), as follows: The Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS) prepared for the Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government and Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport (updated in 2019) provides mandatory guidance for all urban roads and streets within the 60km/h urban speed limit. In response to the Covid-19 Pandemic, a supplementary DMURS Interim Advice Note was also published in 2020 on the DMURS website (available to download at www.dmurs.ie/supplementary-material). The design standards for urban roads and streets seeks to balance the “place function”(i.e. the needs of residents and visitors) with the “transport function” (i.e. the needs of pedestrians, cyclists, public transport, cars and goods vehicles) while incorporating good planning and design practice with a focus on the public realm. The manual recognises the importance of assigning higher priority to pedestrians and cyclists, without unduly compromising vehicle movement in order to create secure, connected places that work for all members of the community.
No. 32 (Local Link)	(Amendment No. 32) Amend Section 5.5.2 ‘Bus’ (page 108):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows: Transport for Ireland Local Link provides a rural local public transport bus service. The key priorities of the Local Link Rural Transport Programme seek to address rural social exclusion and the integration of rural transport services with other public transport services. The NTAs ‘Local Link Rural Transport Programme Strategic Plan 2018 to 2022’ aims to provide a quality nationwide community based public transport system in rural Ireland which responds to local needs. Its key priorities include the reduction of social exclusion and the integration of rural transport services with other public transport services. In addition, one of its key objectives is greater interaction/co-ordination with Local Authorities regarding the assessment of strategic transport needs and in the development of proposed transport plans for local areas. Rural transport services can perform an important role in providing for social and economic connectivity between small villages/rural areas and larger towns. While a service is provided in County Carlow greater connectivity and

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	service provision is required between settlements in the county and wider region.
No. 34 (National Road)	(Amendment No. 34) Insert additional policies to Section 5.8.2 'National Road Network-Policies' (page 113), new text in green as follows: NR P5: To implement Section 2.8 of the DoECLG Spatial Planning and National Roads Guidelines relating to the provision of service areas and roadside facilities on national roads or any updates thereto during the life of this Plan and subject to meeting all other planning and environmental criteria. NR P6: To seek to ensure that the capacity and efficiency of the national road network drainage regimes in County Carlow are safeguarded for national road drainage purposes'.
No. 35 (TII Publication)	(Amendment No. 35) Insert additional text in green to Section 5.8.5, Policy UR P1 'Urban Roads and Streets' (page 118), as follows: UR P1: Ensure that all urban roads and streets in our towns and villages, including residential streets in housing estates are designed in accordance with the principles, approaches and standards set out in the 'Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets' (2013/2019) and any further update to the Manual during the life of this Plan and to implement TII Publication Standard DN-GEO-03084 'The Treatment of Transition Zones to Towns and Villages on National Roads' as appropriate.
No. 36 (Process -TII Roads)	(Amendment No. 36) Insert additional text in green to Section 5.9 'Infrastructural Improvement Process' (page 119), as follows: All National Road projects excluding TII National Road Schemes which will be progressed in accordance with TII Project Management Guidelines and Project Appraisal Guidelines will be progressed in accordance with the "TII Project Management Guidelines, while Non-National Road Improvement projects will comply with the Department of Transport Guidance for the "Management and Appraisal of Regional and Local Strategic and Specific Road Improvement Programmes". Compliance must also be outlined in accordance with the "Public Spending Code- A Guide to Evaluating Planning and Managing Public Investment"
Chapter 6 Infrastructure and Environmental Services	
No. 39 (status of WWTP upgrades)	(Amendment No. 39) Amend Section 6.2 'Public Wastewater Collection and Treatment' (page 127):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows: Irish Water is responsible for the delivery, integration and implementation of strategic public wastewater projects and infrastructural improvements in the County. There are currently 25 no. public wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) in the County. The upgrade of Tullow WWTP is at an advanced stage of design with construction proposed to commence in 2021. The upgrade of Tullow WWTP upgrade is currently at construction stage with anticipated timeframe for completion in Q4 2023. The anticipated timeframe for completion of the Muinebheag/Leighlinbridge WWTP upgrade is Q1 2024. Improvement and upgrade works are also proposed for Mortarstown WWTP in Carlow, and Borris WWTP. At the lower end of the settlement hierarchy, there are constraints in three of the Larger Serviced Villages, and Irish Water has recently announced funding for the provision of a WWTP upgrade at Ballinabrannagh under the "Small Towns and Villages Growth Programme" (STVGP).

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	Irish Water will commence a Drainage Area Plan (DAP) for Carlow Town in Q1 2022. The DAP will assess the performance of the wastewater networks in the town. In parallel with the DAP, a Strategic Network Development Plan is also being progressed by Irish Water for Carlow Town, which will be high-level study that will help inform how undeveloped zoned sites within the town could be serviced.
No. 43 (Resource Mgt Plans)	(Amendment No. 43) Amend Section 6.6.5 'Management of Certain Waste Resources' Construction and Demolition Waste (page 132) :- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows: Construction and Demolition Waste: Reuse and recycling of construction and demolition waste will be supported by the Council. The Council will have regard to and require compliance with the 'Best Practice Guidelines on the Preparation of Waste Management Plans for Construction and Demolition Projects' (July 2006), in the management of waste from construction and demolition projects with EPA's 'Best Practice Guidelines for the Preparation of Resource Management Plans for Construction & Demolition Projects 2021 in the management of waste from construction and demolition projects, which supersedes previous 2006 Guidelines published by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government.
No. 44 (Smart City)	(Amendment No. 44) Insert additional text in green to Section 6.8 'Information and Communications Technology' (page 137) as follows: Telecommunications investment is essential for furthering the social and economic development of County Carlow. The importance of advanced communications infrastructure is recognised for an information-based society, and as a key support for business, education and research. The RSES supports the development of a Smart City and Smart Region (RPO 13 and 134) involving a systematic integration of information and communication technologies (ICT) in planning, design, operations and management.
No. 45 (Water Quality)	(Amendment No. 45) Amend Section 6.9.1 'Water Quality' (page 140):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows: Water quality is a key issue that affects everybody, and its protection is the responsibility of all sections of society. Ensuring that our local natural water bodies are clean and well protected is critically important to our health and wellbeing. A healthy catchment provides high quality drinking water and supports local livelihoods such as agriculture, food production, tourism and water based recreational activities (walking, swimming, angling and water sports). It also sustains and supports water-dependent ecosystems water dependent habitats and species (plants, animals, fish and insects) that depend on clean, healthy waters to survive.
No. 46 (Flood Zones)	(Amendment No. 46) Insert additional text to Section 6.10.3 'The Planning System and Flood Risk Management Guidelines' (page 145), new text in green as follows: Flood zones are geographical areas within which the likelihood of flooding is in a particular range and they are a key tool in flood risk management within the planning process as well as in flood warning and emergency planning. There are three types or levels of flood zones defined for the purposes of these Guidelines: Flood Zone A – where the probability of flooding from rivers and the sea is highest (greater than 1% or 1 in 100 for river flooding or 0.5% or 1 in 200 for coastal flooding); Flood Zone B – where the probability of flooding from rivers and the sea is moderate (between 0.1% or 1 in 1000 and 1% or 1 in 100 for

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	<p>river flooding and between 0.1% or 1 in 1000 year and 0.5% or 1 in 200 for coastal flooding); and Flood Zone C – where the probability of flooding from rivers and the sea is low (less than 0.1% or 1 in 1000 for both river and coastal flooding). Flood Zone C covers all areas of the plan which are not in zones A or B.</p>
No. 48 (LEDs)	<p>(Amendment No. 48) Insert additional new policy LP P3, Section 6.13 'Light Pollution' (page 147), new text in green as follows: Seek to ensure that the use of energy efficient (LED) lighting, both in relation to planning applications and local authority projects, minimises any significant adverse effects on biodiversity with the use of appropriate lighting in sensitive areas.</p>
No. 49 (Major Accident Directive)	<p>(Amendment No. 49) Amend Section 6.14 'Major Accident Directive' (page 147):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows: The EU Directive (96/82 EC) on the control of major accidents hazards, commonly referred to as the SEVESO II Directive, was adopted in 1999. The Directive aims to prevent major accident hazards involving dangerous substances and chemicals and the limitation of their consequences for people and the environment. At present, there are no 'Seveso' sites (identified within the 'COMAH Regulations as 'locations where significant quantities of dangerous substances are stored') within County Carlow. The Directive is implemented in Ireland through the Chemicals Act (Control of Major Accident Hazards involving Dangerous Substances) Regulations 2015 (COMAH Regulations). The Directive aims to prevent accident hazards from dangerous substances and to limit the consequence of such accidents through the following measures: The siting of new Major Accident Hazard sites. Modification of existing Major Accident Hazard sites. Development in the vicinity of a Major Accident Hazard site which by virtue of its type or siting is likely to increase the risk or consequence of a major accident. Site specific emergency planning by the local authority and site operator. A Major Accident Hazard Site (SEVESO Site) is a site where the occupier has notified the Health and Safety Authority (HSA) that they meet a specified threshold for quantities of hazardous substance as outlined in the above-mentioned Regulations i.e. sites defined by COMAH Regulations as '<i>locations where significant quantities of dangerous substances are stored</i>'. The HSA provides advice to Planning Authorities, where appropriate, in respect of planning applications for development within a certain distance of the perimeter of these sites. The Council is required to seek technical advice from the HSA in relation to any planning application directly pertaining to a SEVESO site or within "consultation distance" of these establishments. At present there are no SEVESO sites within County Carlow. The HSA approach to Land-use Planning is set out in the document '<i>Policy & Approach of the Health and Safety Authority to COMAH Risk-based Land-use Planning</i>', which is available to download at https://www.hsa.ie/eng/Your_Industry/Chemicals/Legislation_Enforcement/COMAH/Land_Use_Planning/</p>
No. 50 (Major Accident Directive)	<p>(Amendment No. 50) Amend Section 6.14.1 'Major Accident Directive-Policies' (page 147) and include additional text in green as follows:</p>

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	<p>MA P2: Consult with and have regard to the technical advice of the Health and Safety Authority in relation to proposed land uses in proximity to any future SEVESO site(s).</p> <p>Have regard to the provisions of the Major Accident Directive (EC Directive 2012/18/EU), including any regulations under any enactment giving effect to that Directive, and to the technical advice of the Health and Safety Authority (HSA), in relation to any identified SEVESO sites in the county during the lifetime of this Plan and to the control of development with respect to:</p> <p>The siting of Major Accident Hazard sites. The modification of an existing Major Accident Hazard site. Specified development in the vicinity of a Major Accident Hazard site.</p>
Chapter 7 Climate Action and Energy	
No. 53 (Solar)	<p>(Amendment No. 53) Amend Policy SE P1, Section 7.10.3.2 ‘Solar Energy’ (page 165):- deleted text in red as follows: Se p1: Favourably consider the redevelopment of brown field sites for large solar PV projects subject to proper planning and environmental considerations.</p>
No 55 (Nature Based Approaches)	<p>(Amendment No. 55) Amend text in Section 7.13.4 (para 4, page 176) :- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows: Within County Carlow there are opportunities to expand and strengthen the green infrastructure network and to further explore its integration into both public and private developments in the future. It is the Council's intention an Objective of the Council under Objective GI. O1 in Chapter 9 to develop a Green Infrastructure Strategy for the County in consultation will all key stakeholders and with the public during the lifetime of this Plan. ‡ The Strategy will identify key green infrastructure aims and objectives for Carlow, taking account of the priority projects identified in this Plan and it will provide for the delivery of these projects including the provision of appropriate funding mechanisms. (Refer also to Chapter 9).</p>
Chapter 8 Community Development	
No. 57 (Design consultation)	<p>(Amendment No. 57) Insert additional text to policy YP P2, Section 8.8.1.4 ‘Children and Young People – Policies’ (page 191), new text in green as follows: YP P2: Consider the needs of children and young people, including those with disabilities and additional needs, in the provision of indoor and outdoor play and recreational facilities, and to consult with relevant stakeholders as maybe appropriate regarding design considerations for local authority developments.</p>
No. 58 (Ref: National Disability Inclusion Strategy)	<p>(Amendment No. 58) Insert additional text to Section 8.8.3 ‘People with Disabilities’ (page 192), new text in green as follows: According to Article 1 of the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, persons with disabilities include those who have long-term physical, mental, intellectual, or sensory impairments which in interaction with various barriers may hinder their full and effective participation in society on an equal basis with others. Carlow County Council is cognisant of the ‘National Disability Inclusion Strategy (NDIS) 2017-2022 and ratification by Ireland in 2018 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD).</p>

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
No. 60 (Mainstream Education)	(Amendment No. 60) Amend Policy EF. P6, Section 8.10 'Education Facilities - Policies' (page 200):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows:- EF P6:- Encourage facilities connected with the integration of those with special needs into the education system of streamlined schools. Encourage the mainstream education provision of people with special needs, including the development, as appropriate, of necessary supporting facilities.
No. 61 (Arts and Culture)	(Amendment 61) Insert additional text to policy CA P1, Section 8.15 'Arts and Cultural Facilities - Policies' (page 205), new text in green as follows: CA P1: Encourage the provision of new or improved cultural, arts and entertainment facilities, particularly in the parts of the County where there is a deficiency in such provision, and to recognise and support the role of arts and culture as a form of community infrastructure that can make a positive contribution to the public realm, including urban development, regeneration, and placemaking.
Chapter 9 Landscape and Green Infrastructure	
No. 62 (Landscape Policy)	(Amendment No. 62) Insert additional text in green to Policy LA. P1, Section 9.8 'Landscape - Policies'(page 225) as follows:- LA P 1: Protect and maintain the overall integrity of the County's landscape, by recognising its capacity to sustainably integrate and absorb appropriate development, and by ensuring that development protects, retains and, where necessary, enhances the appearance and character of the landscape, and does not unduly damage or detract from those features which contribute to its value, character, distinctiveness and sensitivity e.g. landform, habitats, scenic quality, settlement pattern, historic heritage, amenity, land use and tranquillity.
No. 63 (Landscape Policy)	(Amendment No. 63) Insert additional text in green to Policy LA. P2, Section 9.8 'Landscape - Policies'(page 225) as follows:- LA P2: Ensure that development will not have a disproportionate landscape or visual impact in sensitive upland areas of the County (due to siting, layout, design or excessive scale, height, and bulk) and will not significantly interfere with or detract from scenic upland vistas, when viewed from the surrounding environment, including nearby areas, scenic views and routes, and from settlements.
Chapter 10 Natural and Built Heritage	
No. 66 (Biodiversity)	(Amendment No. 66) Insert additional text in green to policy NH P2, Section 10.2 'General Natural Heritage – Policies' (page 234) as follows:- NH P2: Ensure as far as is practicable that development does not adversely impact on wildlife habitats and species and that biodiversity is conserved for the benefit of future generations in the interests of sustainability. This will include moving towards no net loss of biodiversity from plans adopted by and projects granted permission/authorised by the Council.
No. 67 (National Biodiversity Plan)	(Amendment No. 67) Insert additional text in green to objective NH O1, Section 10.2 'General Natural Heritage - Objectives' (page 235) as follows:- NH O1: Implement relevant actions from the National Biodiversity Action Plan 2017-2021 (and any superseding plan) and to prepare a

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	County Heritage Plan and Biodiversity Action Plan during the lifetime of this County Development Plan in accordance with RPO 126 in the RSES, to ensure the protection and appreciation of heritage and nature at local level including recognition of rich biodiversity of designation of existing special areas of conservation i.e. Blackstairs Mountains, Slaney River Valley and River Barrow and River Nore SAC.
No. 68 (Screening AA)	(Amendment No. 68) Amend Policy NS. P2 , Section 10.3 ‘Natura 2000 Sites - Policies’ (page 236):- deleted text in red new text in green as follows:- NS P2: Screening for Appropriate Assessment and if required Appropriate Assessment is undertaken for all plans to be adopted and projects to be granted permission/authorised by the Council. Where likely significant effects have been identified in respect of any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of a Natura 2000 site, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, ensure appropriate assessment, in accordance with Article 6(3) and 6(4) of the Habitats Directive. is carried out in respect of any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of a Natura 200 site in order to determine that there will not be adverse impacts on a Natura 2000 site, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects which may give rise to significant, cumulative, direct, indirect or secondary impacts on Natura 2000 sites will not be permitted unless for reasons of overriding public interest . The Council shall only agree to the plan or project after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned, unless the plan or project is subject to the provisions of Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive.’
No. 69 (Natura 2000 Sites)	(Amendment No. 69) Amend Policy NS. P3 , Section 10.3 ‘Natura 2000 Sites - Policies’ (page 236):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows:- NS P3: Prevent development that would adversely affect the integrity of any Natura 2000 site located within or immediately adjacent to the county and protect and maintain favourable conservation status for habitats and protected species, including those listed under the Birds Directive, the Wildlife Act 1976 (as amended), Flora (Protection) Order (or other such Orders), and the Habitats Directive. Consider impacts within a plan or project’s zone of influence, which may include Natura 2000 sites outside the County, when assessing whether a plan or project is likely to have significant effects on Natura 2000 sites’.
No. 70 (Natura 2000 Sites)	(Amendment No. 70) Insert additional new policy NS. P4: Section 10.3 ‘Natura 2000 Sites - Policies’ (page 237) as follows: NS P4: Maintain or restore the favourable conservation status of County’s Natura 2000 sites qualifying interest habitats and species.
No. 71 (NHAs)	(Amendment No. 71) Insert additional text in green to policy NHA P1, Section 10.4 ‘Natural Heritage Areas - Policies’ (page 237) as follows:- NHA P1: Contribute towards the protection, from significant adverse effects, of the ecological integrity and the visual, recreational, environmental and amenity value of the County’s proposed Natural Heritage Areas (pNHAs) and associated habitats, including any designated Natural Heritage Areas (NHAs) during the lifetime of this Plan.

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
No. 72 (NHA – Impacts biodiversity)	<p>(Amendment No. 72) Insert additional text in green to policy NHA P2, Section 10.4 'Natural Heritage Areas - Policies' (page 237) as follows:-</p> <p>NHA P2: Ensure that development proposals within or adjacent to a proposed Natural Heritage Area (pNHA) or Natural Heritage Area (NHA) are designed and sited to minimise significant impacts on the biodiversity (including net loss) and ecological, geological and landscape value of the site, particularly plant and animal species listed under the Wildlife Act 1976 (as amended), the Habitats Directive and the Birds Directive, including their habitats.</p>
No. 73 (NHA – Conservation Management)	<p>(Amendment No. 73) Insert additional text in green to policy NHA P3, Section 10.4 'Natural Heritage Areas - Policies' (page 237) as follows:-</p> <p>NHA P3: Restrict development within a proposed Natural Heritage Area (pNHA) or Natural Heritage Area (NHA) to development that is directly related to the area's amenity potential or development that is required for the conservation management of these sites, subject to the protection and enhancement of natural heritage and visual amenities including biodiversity and landscapes'.</p>
No. 74 (Peatlands and Habitats Directive)	<p>(Amendment No. 74) Insert additional text in green to Section 10.5 'Non-Designated Areas, Habitats and Species' (page 240) as follows:-</p> <p>There are wildlife habitats in County Carlow that are important on a county and local basis, acting as stepping stones in a wider ecological network. These wildlife habitats can include rivers and riverbanks, ponds, wetlands, peatlands, small woods and hedgerows, which are essential to the migration, dispersal and genetic exchange of wild species. Article 10 of the Habitats Directive states that Member States shall endeavour in their land use planning and development policies, to encourage the management of features of the landscape which are of major importance for wild flora and fauna.</p> <p>Strict protection under the Habitats Directive applies to the species listed in Annex IV of that Directive, including plant and animal species. Annex IV includes all bat species and the European Otter. Where Annex IV species are present, measures to avoid damage and disturbance to them must be taken into account in the formulation of proposals for development. Where the risk of damage or disturbance is unavoidable, an application for a derogation licence may be made to the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 to 2021. It must outline all the alternative solutions considered and indicate which of the reasons listed in the legislation cover the application and also include all suggested mitigation measures. The Council will fulfil its duties in relation to the strict protection afforded to species listed in Annex IV of the Habitats Directive and associated national derogation licencing requirements.</p>
No. 75 (Rare Threatened Species)	<p>(Amendment No. 75) Amend Policy ND. P2 , Section 10.5 'Non-Designated Areas, Habitats and Species - Policies' (page 240):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows:-</p> <p>ND. P2: Ensure that development does not have a significant adverse effect on rare and threatened species, including those listed in the Wildlife Act 1976 (as amended), the Birds Directive 1979, the Habitats Directive 1992, and the Flora (Protection) Order 1995, their breeding places, resting places, habitat or environment, as applicable, including those protected under the Wildlife Acts 1976 to 2021, the Birds Directive (2009/147/EC), the Habitats Directive</p>

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	(92/43/EEC) and including plant species listed on the Flora (Protection) Order 2015 (S.I. No. 356 of 2015)'.
No. 76 (National Pollinator Plan)	(Amendment No. 76) Insert additional text in green to policy ND P6, Section 10.5 'Non-Designated Areas, Habitats and Species - Policies (page 241) as follows:- ND P6: Ensure that the management of the Council's open spaces and parks is pollinator-friendly and provides more opportunities for biodiversity, supporting the objectives of the National Pollinator Plan 2021-2025. Where it is used, herbicide should be certified and applied as per the manufacturer's instructions.
No. 77 (All Ireland Pollinator Plan)	(Amendment No. 77) Insert additional new policy ND P7, Section 10.5 'Non-Designated Areas, Habitats and Species - Policies (page 241) as follows:- ND P7: Support the implementation of the All Ireland Pollinator Plan 2021-2025 and to incorporate actions as appropriate into a Biodiversity Plan for County Carlow providing more opportunities for biodiversity in accordance with RPO 128.
No. 78 (Biodiversity Data Centre)	(Amendment No. 78) Insert additional new policy ND P8, Section 10.5 'Non-Designated Areas, Habitats and Species - Policies (page 241) as follows:- ND P8: That biodiversity data generated during the lifetime of this Development Plan for the preparation of environmental assessment reports, shall be made available to the National Biodiversity Data Centre (NBDC).
No. 79 (GSI available data / maps)	(Amendment No. 79) Insert additional text in green to Section 10.9 'Geological Heritage' (page 246) as follows:- The 6 County Geological Sites (CGS) in the County are identified on https://www.gsi.ie/en-ie/data-and-maps/Pages/default.aspx and are listed below. In addition to their information on geological heritage, the Geological Survey of Ireland also produces a wide range of other publicly available maps and datasets ranging from bedrock and quaternary geology, to geotechnical, minerals, geophysics, geochemistry and geohazards. These maps and datasets are also accessible through the web link included in the foregoing.
No. 80 (Invasive Alien Species)	(Amendment No. 80) Amend Policy IS. P1, Section 10.10 'Non-Designated Areas, Habitats and Species - Policies' (page 247):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows:- <i>Prevent the spread of invasive alien species in the County, and to require landowners and developers to adhere to best practice guidance in relation to the containment and control of invasive alien species, including: the 'Guidelines on The Management of Noxious Weeds and Non-Native Invasive Plant Species on National Roads' (2010-NRA) and Invasive Species Ireland guidelines (see www.invasivespeciesireland.com).</i> TII (2020) The Management of Invasive Alien Plant Species on National Roads – Standard GE-ENV-01104 https://www.tiipublications.ie/library/GE-ENV-01104-01.pdf TII (2020) The Management of Invasive Alien Plant Species on National Roads – Technical Guidance https://www.tiipublications.ie/library/GE-ENV-01105-01.pdf
No. 84 (Amend RPS CT 18 Burrin St)	(Amendment No.84) To Amend CT 18 on the Record of Protected Structures, Appendix VIII (page 76), deleted text in red, new text in green, as follows;

Material Alterations – No submission Received

	<p>Semi-detached domestic dwelling. Date circa 1839</p> <p>49 Burrin Street is a five-bay two-storey end of terrace building, constructed in c.1820-40 on the east side of Burrin Street. Although vacant for many years, the house retains a strong architectural presence on the streetscape. The central door surround on the front façade and the vertical proportions of the windows contribute to the character of Burrin Street. The external form of the building is in a remarkably intact condition with the late-Georgian detailing of the front façade making a positive contribution to the streetscape of Burrin Street. As the interior has been subject to substantial damage by fire part of the building to include the front façade and external built form (walls/ roof) are included on the Record of Protected Structures.</p>
<p>No. 85 (Amend RPS CT 112 Church St)</p>	<p>(Amendment No.85) To Amend CT 112 on the Record of Protected Structures, Appendix VIII (page 88), new text in green, as follows; A four-bay, two-storey house with painted rendering. It has a handsome, round-headed, architrave, granite doorcase with a keystone. The doorcase is set up two granite steps. The house is immediately opposite the church and is important for the streetscape Date circa 1770 – 1800. Protection of the structure extends to part of the building comprising the front façade and external built form / footprint.</p>
<p>No. 86 (Underwater Arch Heritage)</p>	<p>(Amendment No. 86) Insert additional text in green to Section 10.12 'Archaeological Heritage' (page 248) as follows:- Underwater Archaeological Heritage, including Historic Wrecks. <i>Wrecks over 100 years old (whether previously known or just discovered) and all archaeological objects situated underwater, are protected under section 3 of the National Monuments (Amendment) Act 1987. Wrecks of any date and the potential location of wrecks or archaeological objects may also be protected under Section 3 of the 1987 (Amendment) Act by the making of an underwater heritage order, if considered to be of sufficient historical, archaeological or artistic importance to merit such protection. Information on known wrecks can be found in the Department's Wreck Viewer which holds records of over 18,000 known and potential wreck sites in Irish waters.</i></p>
<p>No. 87 (Historic Burial Grounds)</p>	<p>(Amendment No. 87) Insert additional text in green to policy AH P7, Section 10.12 'Archaeological Heritage-Policies' (page 250) as follows: <i>AH P7: Protect and conserve historic burial grounds within the County, including through the avoidance of extensions to them that would have an inappropriate level of impact on sub-surface archaeological remains or on their setting and amenity, and encourage their management and maintenance in accordance with best practice conservation principles, including 'Guidance for the Care, Conservation and Recording of Historic Graveyards' (The Heritage Council 2011) and 'Ireland's Historic Churches and Graveyards' (The Heritage Council), and in consultation with the National Monuments Service in the Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.</i></p>
<p>No. 88 (Archaeological Heritage)</p>	<p>(Amendment No. 88) Insert additional text in green to policy AH P8, Section 10.12 'Archaeological Heritage - Policies' (page 251) as follows: AH P8: Promote public awareness of the archaeological heritage of the County, and encourage where appropriate and practicable, the provision of <i>appropriately designed and located signage, interpretative material, and public access (including disabled access)</i></p>

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	for archaeological sites under the direct ownership, guardianship or control of the Council and/or the state.
Chapter 11 – Tourism and Recreation – Material Alterations No’s 91-104	
No. 91 (Regional Tourism Strategies)	(Amendment No. 91) Insert additional text in green to objective FI O1, Section 11.3 ‘Fáilte Ireland Strategies - Objectives’ (page 263) as follows: FI O1: Support and facilitate Fáilte Ireland and tourism stakeholder initiatives for the development of tourism experiences in the County, which deliver on the Ireland’s Ancient East brand and the preparation and implementation of Regional Tourism Strategies.
No. 92 (Destination Experience Plans)	(Amendment No. 92) Amend Objective FI. O2, Section 11.3 ‘Fáilte Ireland Strategies - Objectives’ (page 263):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows:- FI O2: Engage and collaborate with Fáilte Ireland and other tourism stakeholders on their ‘Tales of Two Worlds Visitor Experience Development Plan’ in support of their preparation of Destination Experience Development Plans (DEDPs) and other tourism masterplans within the County and in adjoining counties as appropriate.
No. 93 (Destination Experience Plans)	(Amendment No. 93) Amend Section 11.3.2 ‘Tales of Two Worlds Visitor Experience’ (page 263) and Section 11.3.3 Great Houses and Gardens Experience:- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows:- 11.3.2 Tales of Two Worlds Visitor Experience Destination Experience Development Plans In Carlow, Fáilte Ireland has commenced work on the ‘Tales of Two Worlds’ Visitor Experience Development Plan. This Plan brings together Ireland’s historic houses and gardens, and the period in Ireland’s history of famine and emigration. Fáilte Ireland’s Great Houses and Gardens Experience Development Programme looks at the house and garden experiences available across the County, including ways to develop guiding, events, cost, revenue management and sales distribution. Going forward these Visitor Experience Plans are going to form Destination Experience Development Plan (DEDPs). 11.3.3 Great Houses and Gardens Experience Fáilte Ireland’s Great Houses and Gardens Experience Development Programme looks at the house and garden experiences available across the County, including ways to develop guiding, events, cost, revenue management and sales distribution.
No. 94 (Visitor Needs)	(Amendment No. 94) Insert additional text in green to Policy TD P1, Section 11.4 ‘Tourism Development - Policies’ (page 265) as follows: TD P1: Support and collaborate with relevant agencies and bodies such as Carlow Tourism, Tourism Ireland, Fáilte Ireland and The Arts Council, and key stakeholders and local communities, to further understand the needs of visitors in the County and to develop, promote and maximise the tourism potential of the County, and to ensure that tourism facilities are accessible to people with mobility issues, learning disabilities, people with visual or hearing impairments, young and elderly people.
No. 95 (Digital Technology)	(Amendment No. 95) Insert additional Policy TD P8, Section 11.4 ‘Tourism Development - Policies’ (page 265) as follows TD P8: Encourage and support investment in digital technology in the tourism sector in the County, with a particular focus on visitor attractions and activities with low digital presence and/or integration.

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
No. 96 (Carlow Tourism Strategy)	(Amendment No. 96) Insert additional text in green to Objective TD O1, Section 11.4 'Tourism Development - Objectives' (page 266) as follows: TD O1: Support the implementation of the County Carlow Tourism Strategy and Action Plan 2020-2025, and any updated version of the Strategy and Action Plan.
No. 97 (Inland water bodies facilities)	(Amendment No. 97) Insert additional Policy after HT P15, Section 11.5.8 'Natural Heritage and Amenities- Policies' (page 270) as follows: Encourage the development of shared facilities centres at inland water bodies, to support greater access to water for water-sports and water-based activities and events, subject to compliance with planning and environmental criteria.
No. 99 (Carlow Destination Town)	Section 11.7 Carlow Town – Destination Town (Amendment No. 99) Include additional text in green to Objective DT. O1, Section 11.7 'Destination Town – Objectives' (page 272) as follows: DT O1: To support, promote and maximise the role of Carlow Town as a designated Ireland's Ancient East 'Destination Town', and to engage with Fáilte Ireland in developing and promoting future tourism initiatives in the town, including enhancement of public space, the development of a way finding project and welcome signage, transport links, accommodation, the night-time economy, and the sustainable development of our natural and built heritage, in order to capitalise on the potential benefit of the funding for the town.
No. 100 (Night Time Economy)	(Amendment No. 100) Insert additional Policy CA. P5, Section 11.8 'Culture, Arts and Entertainment - Policies' (page 273) as follows: CA P5: Encourage and support an improved night-time economy through the increased use of existing and temporary spaces for Culture, Arts and Entertainment uses including through extended opening hours for existing attractions, subject to compliance with planning and environmental criteria.
No. 101 (Outdoor Dining)	(Amendment No. 101) Insert additional text in green to Section 11.10 'Carlow Food, Drink and Craft' (page 274) as follows: 11.10 Carlow Food, Drink and Craft Food plays a major part in the contemporary tourism and visitor experiences and provides a platform for supporting and expanding local economic development. Within the wider County Carlow area, a range of indigenous producers operate, inspired by the unique landscape and people of the County. The impact of the Covid-19 pandemic has highlighted the important role of the outdoor dining and hospitality offering for businesses, which has now become a more prominent feature across the County. Under its Outdoor Dining Enhancement Scheme, Fáilte Ireland has provided funding for Tullow Street Upper, as well as Main Street Borris, for permanent outdoor dining structures. Businesses need to be supported to facilitate outdoor dining and hospitality for longer periods throughout the year, subject to compliance with planning and environmental criteria.
No. 103 (Sports / Leisure Facilities)	(Amendment No. 103) Insert additional text in green to Section 11.16 'Sports and Leisure Facilities' (page 285): Section 11.16 'Sports and Leisure Facilities' The County has a large number of purpose-built sporting and recreation facilities, provided through a mix of public, private, third level, school, community facilities, and voluntary organisations. There are purpose-built sports and recreation facilities in the County for the GAA, soccer and other playing pitch

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	uses, and for golf, rowing, athletics, tennis, badminton, squash, swimming, sub aqua, and equestrian uses. Apart from purpose-built facilities, the built environment of urban areas and the landscape of rural areas in the County, such as public roads, public footpaths, woodlands, and waterways, also support a range of other sports and leisure activities, such as walking, jogging, cycling, boating, fishing, and outdoor swimming.
No. 104 (National Governing Bodies)	(Amendment No. 104) Insert additional text in green to Policy SL. P2, Section 11.16 'Sports and Leisure Facilities - Policies' (page 286) as follows: SL P2: Support national sport policies and objectives, including collaboration with Sports Ireland and National Governing Bodies of Sport (NGBs), the County Carlow Local Sports Partnerships, clubs, communities and partnerships within and beyond sport, to increase sport and physical activity participation levels.
Chapter 12 Urban Design and Placemaking -No Material Alterations	
Chapter 13 Rural Design Guide	
No. 105 (Rural Design Guide – key principles)	Section 13.4.7 Landscaping and Boundary Treatments (Amendment No. 105) Amend Section 13.4.7 'Key Principles – Landscaping and Boundary Treatments' (page 321):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows:- Avoid tarmacadam, concrete and brick driveways. Aim for self-draining grasscrete or gravel which is more suitable for a rural setting in terms of visual impact and surface water drainage. In the interests of environmental protection and biodiversity use of herbicides should be minimised. Plant wild meadows or strim grass areas which are more natural to rural areas. Incorporate wildflower meadows by enhancing existing grass areas through reduced mowing. Planting new areas of wildflowers should be seen as a last resort and then only native species of Irish origin should be used. Provide appropriate setback from watercourses (including streams and drains), woodlands and wetlands allowing natural vegetation to develop.
Chapter 14 Rural Development	
No. 106 (ref: Intensive Agricultural Installations)	(Amendment No. 106) Insert additional text to Policy AG P4, Section 14.4 'Agriculture" (page 334), new text in green as follows: AG P4: Ensure that all agricultural activities comply with legislation on water quality, such as the Phosphorous Regulations, Water Framework Directive and Nitrates Directive. In relation to intensive agricultural installations (i.e. intensive pig and poultry farming), recent EPA Guidance (2021) on Assessment of the Impact of Ammonia and Nitrogen on Natura 2000 sites from intensive agriculture installations should be consulted when carrying out project assessment.
Chapter 15 Town and Village Plans / Settlement Plans	
<i>Carlow Town</i>	
No.108 (zoning - Southern Gardens)	(Amendment No. 108) Amend Carlow Town Land Use Zoning Map to rezone land at Southern Gardens from 'Open Space and Amenity' to 'Existing/Infill Residential'.

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
No. 110 (Open space – Residential zonings)	(Amendment No. 110) Amend Carlow Town Land Use Zoning Map to rezone portion of land on Hacketstown Road from 'Open Space and Amenity' to 'Existing Residential Infill', and to rezone land adjoining Brownshill Rise from 'Open Space and Amenity' to 'New Residential'.
No. 111 (Open space – Residential and Residential - Opens Space)	(Amendment No. 111) Amend Carlow Town Land Use Zoning Map to rezone portion of land at Brownshill Wood from 'Open Space and Amenity' to 'Existing Residential/Infill' and insert a new corresponding specific objective that <i>Redevelopment of this site shall be subject to relocation of the access road to front any new development proposed and shall be fully located within the lands zoned existing residential / infill</i> , and amend Carlow Town Land Use Zoning Map to rezone portion of land at Brownshill Wood from 'Existing Residential/Infill' to 'Open Space and Amenity'.
No. 113 (Carlow Town – Objectives Map)	(Amendment No. 113) Amend Carlow Town Objectives Map to highlight areas that will contribute to meeting compact growth target of 30%, to identify settlement consolidation sites, regeneration sites, and to outline core retail areas and retail opportunity sites detailed in the Draft County Retail Strategy.
<i>Tullow & Muine Bheag</i>	
No. 114 (District Towns – Maps)	(Amendment No. 114) Insert Strategic Policy and Constraints Maps for Tullow and Muine Bheag to highlight areas that will contribute to meeting compact growth target of 30%, to identify settlement consolidation sites, regeneration sites, and to outline core retail areas and retail opportunity sites detailed in the Draft County Retail Strategy.
<i>Rathvilly</i>	
No. 119 (Rathvilly – Infra Assessment Lands)	(Amendment No. 119) Amend Rathvilly Objectives Map to include additional sites / proposed residential zoning(s) for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
<i>Leighlinbridge</i>	
No. 122 (Leighlinbridge) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 122) Amend Leighlinbridge Objectives Map to include additional sites for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
<i>Ballon</i>	
No. 125 (Ballon) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 125) Amend Ballon Objectives Map to include additional sites / proposed residential zoning(s) for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
<i>Borris</i>	
No. 127 (Proposed Enterprise and Employment Lands)	(Amendment No. 127) Amend Borris Land Use Zoning Map to extend the town boundary and zone land (6.06ha) 'Enterprise and Employment', and insert corresponding specific objective that <i>development extends sequential from the existing developed area, shall provide for appropriate traffic access arrangements, the protection of residential amenities of adjoining properties and detailed landscaping plan to protect the visual amenities of the area including proposals to seek the retention of mature established trees and field boundaries to the landholding.</i>
No. 128 (Proposed Agricultural Lands)	(Amendment No. 128) Amend Borris Land Use Zoning Map to extend the town boundary and zone land adjoining R705 'Agriculture'.

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
No. 132 (Borris) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 132) Amend Borris Objectives Map to include additional sites /proposed residential zoning(s) for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
<i>Hacketstown</i>	
No. 135 (Hacketstown) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 135) Amend Hacketstown Objectives Map to include additional sites / proposed residential zoning(s) for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
<i>Carrickduff</i>	
No. 137 (Proposed Existing Residential lands – Golf Club)	(Amendment No. 137) Amend Carrickduff Land Use Zoning Map to rezone land from ‘New Residential’ to ‘Existing Residential/Infill.
No. 140 (Carrickduff) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 140) Amend Carrickduff Objectives Map to include additional sites / proposed residential zoning(s) for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
<i>Palatine</i>	
No. 142 (Palatine) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 142) Amend Palatine Objectives Map to include additional sites for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
<i>Ballinabrannagh / Raheendoran</i>	
No. 143 (Proposed unzoned to Residential Zoning Gort na Greinne)	(Amendment No. 143) Amend Ballinabrannagh/Raheendoran Plan Land Use Zoning Map to extend village boundary and zone land ‘New Residential’, with corresponding specific objective that <i>It is an objective of the Council to consider the provision of a maximum of 22 no. residential units, subject to the appropriate phasing of the scheme and high-quality design and siting considerations, which will provide for an integrated development including pedestrian permeability through the site to the existing adjoining development. The scheme shall also provide high-quality landscaping and public open space provision and shall comply all other proper planning and sustainable development considerations as appropriate.</i>
No. 144 (Ballinabrannagh/Raheendoran) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 144) Amend Ballinabrannagh Objectives Map to include additional sites / proposed residential zoning(s) for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
<i>Rathoe</i>	
No. 148 (Rathoe) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 148) Amend Rathoe Objectives Map to include additional sites / proposed residential zoning(s) for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
<i>Fennagh</i>	
No. 150 (Fennagh) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 150) Amend Fennagh Objectives Map to include additional sites for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
<i>Myshall</i>	
No. 151 (Prop unzoned to community / education)	(Amendment No. 151) Amend Myshall Land Use Zoning Map to extend village boundary and zone land ‘Community/Education’
No. 152 (Myshall) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 152) Amend Myshall Objectives Map to include additional sites for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).

Material Alterations – No submission Received

Clonegal

No. 154 (Clonegal) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 154) Amend Clonegall Objectives Map to include additional sites for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
---------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Kildavin

No. 155 (Flood Risk Mgt-Kildavin)	<p>(Amendment No. 155) Insert new policy under Section 15.4.6, new text in green as follows:</p> <p><i>Flood Risk Management</i> <i>KD. P17: Manage flood risk in Kildavin in accordance with the following provisions:</i></p> <p><i>1. Village Core and utilities lands to the East and West of the R724 within Flood Zone A and B shall comply with the following provisions:</i></p> <p><i>Any future expansion of the WWTP or Childcare facility Village Core and Utilities Lands should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:</i></p> <p><i>Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;</i> <i>The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable elements of the site should be located in Flood Zone C, or raised/bunded/protected;</i> <i>FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to operational levels and potential mitigation measures;</i> <i>Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas; and,</i> <i>Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events.</i> <i>Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.</i></p> <p><i>2. Areas of the existing residential zoning within the Glasheen Estate within Flood Zone A and Flood Zone B shall comply with the following provisions:</i> <i>Development shall be;</i> <i>Limited to extensions, renovations and change of use; and,</i> <i>Infill residential development and demolition and reconstruction can only take place in Flood Zone C.</i> <i>Any future development should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:</i> <i>Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;</i> <i>The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable infill and redevelopment shall not be permitted in Flood Zone A or B;</i> <i>FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures;</i> <i>Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard;</i> <i>Bedrooms should be located in the upstairs of two-storey buildings when extending existing property;</i> <i>Flood resilient construction materials and fittings should be considered if in Flood Zone A/B;</i> <i>Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas;</i></p>
-----------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Material Alterations – No submission Received	
	<i>Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and, Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.</i>
No. 157 (Kildavin) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 157) Amend Kildavin Objectives Map to include additional sites / proposed residential zoning(s) for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
<i>Tinryland</i>	
No. 159 (Proposed zoning for community/ education)	(Amendment No. 159) Amend Tinryland Land Use Zoning Map to extend the village boundary and zone land ‘Community/Education’.
No. 160 (Tinryland) – Infra Assessment Lands	(Amendment No. 160) Amend Tinryland Objectives Map to include additional sites/ proposed residential zoning(s) for consideration as part of the Infrastructure Lands (Vol 2- Appendix X).
Chapter 16 Development Management Standards – Material Alteration’s 163 - 171	
No. 163 (Flexible Car Parking)	(Amendment No. 163) Insert additional text in green to Section 16.10.11‘Car Parking’ (page 515) as follows: National Policy Objective 13 of the NPF advocates that planning standards should be flexibly applied in response to well-designed development proposals that can achieve urban infill and brownfield development objectives in settlements of all sizes. The development management standards regarding car parking provision may be relaxed in urban infill and brownfield sites subject to strict qualitative criteria and provided public safety is not compromised and the environment is suitably protected
No. 165 (CEMP-detail)	(Amendment No. 165) Amend Section 16.11.5 (k) ‘Construction and Environmental Management Plans’ (page 518-519):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows: Construction Environment Management Plans shall be a requirement of any major planning permission for residential, community, employment or infrastructure related development and implemented throughout the construction / operational period as appropriate. The Plan shall be prepared having regard to the EPA <i>Best Practice Guidelines for the preparation of Resource Management Plans for Construction and Demolition Waste Projects</i> , Such plans shall incorporate relevant mitigation measures which have been integrated into the plan / project and where relevant any Environmental Impact Assessment or Appropriate Assessment. CEMPs typically provide details of intended construction practice for the proposed development, including: k) disposal of construction/demolition waste and details of how it is proposed to manage excavated soil, including compliance with the EPA’s ‘Best Practice Guidelines for the Preparation of Resource Management Plans for Construction & Demolition Projects 2021, which supersedes previous 2006 Guidelines published by the 2006 Best Practice Guidelines on the Preparation of Waste Management Plans for Construction and Demolition Projects , Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government;
No. 166 (Built Environment – use of sustainable products)	(Amendment No. 166) Insert additional text in green to Section 16.12.1 ‘Built Environment- Climate Action’ (page 523) as follows: The Council will encourage all new development proposals to incorporate sustainable building practices, <i>sustainable products</i> and

Material Alterations – No submission Received			
	incorporate design and layout criteria which minimise energy use, including by passive solar design, energy efficient building design and emission reduction measures.		
No. 167 (Promotion of accessible tourism)	(Amendment No. 167) Insert additional text in green to Section 16.15.1 'Tourism Development' (page 531) as follows: Any proposal for a tourism related activity or development shall include a supporting business and design statement outlining the following: <i>Promotion of accessible tourism and provision of inclusive access</i>		
No. 168 (Extractive Industries – established rights of way)	(Amendment No. 168) Insert additional text in green to Section 16.16.3 Extractive Industries' (page 534) as follows: The development, continuation of use or diversification of activities relating to the extractive industry will be assessed having regard to the Quarrying and Ancillary Activities (DEHLG 2004) (or any superseding national policy document, Guidelines for Environmental Management in the Extractive Industry (EPA, 2006), Guidance on Biodiversity in the Extractive Industry (NPWS), GSI's Geological Heritage Guidelines for the Extractive Industry (2008), the Archaeological Code of Practice (2009) and the Irish Concrete Federation Environmental Code (2005) and any other relevant superseding policy guidance. Applicants should submit the following information at application stage: Map showing total site area, area to be excavated, and any ancillary proposed development, nearest dwellings or any other development (within 1 km of the site), <i>including any established rights of way through the site;</i>		
No. 170 (Industry Zoning category)	<p>OBJECTIVE AND GUIDANCE</p> <p><i>Objective: To provide for industrial development and ancillary office use. This zoning provides for general and specialised industrial uses, associated with high inputs of energy, water and materials and the management of environmental emissions including noise, dust, and odour. Other uses ancillary or similar to industrial uses will be considered in this zone on their merits.</i></p>	<p>PERMITTED IN PRINCIPLE USES</p> <p><i>Industry, industry (light), manufacturing, science and technology-based industry, ancillary office, car park, workshops, garages/panel beating/car repairs, plant storage.</i></p>	<p>OPEN FOR CONSIDERATION USES</p> <p><i>Agri. Business, research and development, bring banks/civic amenity, science and technology enterprise, training centre, warehouse, store, depot, utility structures and facilities, petrol service station, heavy commercial vehicle parks.</i></p>
No. 171 (Implementation and Monitoring of Plan)	<p>16.19 Implementation and Monitoring</p> <p>16.19.1 Introduction</p> <p>Carlow County Council is committed to the continuous process of implementing and monitoring the policies and objectives contained in</p>		

the Carlow County Development Plan 2022-2028 and will occupy a leadership role in progressing same. Many policies and objectives in the Plan relate to development management processes, which can be implemented through established internal procedures in the Council. The intention of other policies and objectives in the Plan can be wide-ranging, and for this reason their successful implementation can necessitate a collaborative approach with a range of agencies and stakeholders, including local communities, governmental and non-governmental bodies and agencies, and adjoining local authorities.

It should also be noted that there are policies and objectives aimed at supporting an intended outcome, rather than being the direct means of delivering the outcome. In this regard, the implementation of policies and objectives in the Plan, including the desired planning outcomes, can be subject to external factors such as the availability of resources, political support, and economic circumstances in terms of Council funding and the availability of capital from other sources. The Council's Planning Department is primarily responsible for implementing and monitoring the Plan through its forward planning and development management functions. However, the cross-functional content of the Plan is such that it also coordinates the policies and objectives of the Council's other internal departments, such as the Transportation Department, Environment and Water Services Departments, Housing Department, Community Department, and Local Enterprise Office. In addition, the scope of certain objectives in the Plan can be such that external bodies such as Irish Water, the Environmental Protection Agency, the OPW, or Transport Infrastructure Ireland, can be responsible for their implementation.

In the preparation and drafting of this Plan every effort has been made, as far as is practicable, to formulate policies and objectives that are specific, measurable, achievable, and realistic. The policies and objectives in the Plan have all also been formulated with the aim of fulfilling the Vision for County Carlow, including the cross-cutting themes which underpin the Plan and the Strategic Objectives, as set out in Chapter 1 (See Table 16.10 below). The Strategic Objectives in the Plan are themselves broadly aligned with the National Strategic Outcomes of the NPF, the Regional Strategic Outcomes of the RSES, and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

Table 16.10

Strategic Objectives		
S. O1	Compact Growth, Consolidation Regeneration.	Direct new development in accordance with the Core and Settlement Strategies which will provide for the sustainable development of the County for the period 2022-2028 in accordance with the principles of compact growth, consolidation and regeneration.
S. O2	Carlow Town	Support and promote the role of Carlow Town as a Regional and Inter-regional economic growth driver and to fulfil its role as a Key Town, focussed on regeneration, implementation of Project Carlow 2040 A Vision

Material Alterations – No submission Received

			for Regeneration, sustainable development, quality of life and economic investment.
S.O3	IT Carlow & Technological University of the South East		Support and facilitate the development and further expansion of IT Carlow, its links with industry and its transition with IT Waterford to the Technological University of the South East which is critical for the optimum social and economic development of the region.
S.O4	Role of District Towns		Promote consolidation and growth in the District Towns of Tullow and Muine Bheag along with targeted investment to improve local employment, services and sustainable transport options, building on existing assets.
S.O5	Economic Development		Maintain and promote a broad economic and employment base in the County which seeks to maximise the economic assets of the County, including third level institutes, the strategic location of Carlow proximate to Dublin and Waterford Cities along the M9 and the Midlands along the N80.
S.O6	Rural Areas		Support the role of rural areas with an increased emphasis on the renewal of smaller towns and villages and to seek to sustain the livelihood of rural communities by promoting the development of the wider rural economy while recognising the need to sustainably manage land and resources.
S.O7	Quality of life, healthy placemaking & good design		Protect and enhance the unique character and identity of Carlow's towns and villages and improve quality of life and well-being through regeneration, healthy placemaking, good quality design with the creation of attractive public spaces that are vibrant, distinctive, safe and accessible and which promote and facilitate positive social interaction.
S.O8	Climate adaptation & mitigation		Transition to a low carbon and climate resilient County by

Material Alterations – No submission Received

		developing renewable indigenous energy resources, by supporting energy efficiency, reducing energy demand, and by implementing mitigation and adaptation responses to climate change.
S.O9	Protection of natural & built heritage	Afford suitable protection to the environment, built, cultural and natural heritage assets of Carlow, to ensure their survival for future generations and to ensure they contribute to the future sustainable development of the County.
S.O10	Green infrastructure & eco-systems services	Conserve and enhance the County's Green Infrastructure and ecosystem services supporting the sustainable management of natural assets and the biodiversity of the County's protected habitats and species to provide a wide range of environmental, social and economic benefits to communities.
S.O11	Infrastructure & Environmental services.	Promote the provision and maintenance of high-quality infrastructure and infrastructural networks and environmental services which seek to complement the overall economic and settlement strategy and contribute to the sustainable development of the area.
S.O12	Transportation & land use planning	Ensure the proper integration of transportation and land use planning through the increased use of sustainable transport modes and the minimisation of travel demand to achieve a sustainable, integrated and low carbon transport system.
S.O13	Sustainable communities	Promote, develop and maintain sustainable communities in the County, through the provision of a range of facilities and services to meet the diverse and expanding needs of all residents including the needs of younger persons, thereby supporting community participation and social

		inclusion, and improving the quality of life for everyone.
<p>16.19.2 Legislative Context</p> <p>16.19.2.1 Office of the Planning Regulator The legal basis for the Office of the Planning Regulations (OPR), including its role and functions, was established under the Planning and Development (Amendment) Act 2018. The OPR operates an independent monitoring role in relation to the assessment of all local authority forward planning programmes and advises Government on the implementation of local authority statutory planning processes.</p> <p>16.19.2.2 Two Year Progress Reports In accordance with the provisions of Section 15 of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended), the Council has a statutory obligation to: Secure the implementation of the objectives in this Plan; and, Prepare a report on achieving the objectives not more than 2 years after the making of this Plan. The Council also has a statutory obligation to prepare and submit a report to the Southern Regional Assembly every 2 years, which must outline the progress made in supporting relevant objectives in the Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES). The requirements of Section 22A (2) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) refer in this regard.</p> <p>16.19.3 Implementation The implementation of the Plan will be achieved in a number of different ways, including: The application of the policies, objectives, standards, and related provisions in the assessment of planning applications for permissions, including local authority development. In view of the cross-cutting and multi-faceted scope of many of the policies and objectives in the Plan, they will contribute to the achievement of multiple Strategic Objectives in the Plan, which are themselves interconnected. The integration of the strategies, policies, and objectives of the Plan with lower order plans including the Local Area Plans for Carlow Town and Environs, Tullow, and Muinebheag, and with the Small-Town Plans for Rathvilly, Leighlinbridge, Ballon, Borris, Hacketstown, and Carrickduff. Investment in infrastructure and environmental services that underpin the policies and objectives of the Plan. The Strategic Objectives in the Plan, and the Core Strategy, establish the priorities for the provision and improvement of infrastructure and environmental services by the Council and by other agencies, subject to the availability of funding. The ongoing monitoring of the strategies, policies, and objectives in the Plan as appropriate. Identifying the need for any adjustments to strategies, policies, and objectives over the lifetime of the Plan, as appropriate, and in future reviews.</p> <p>16.19.4 Funding The fulfilment of policies and objectives in the Plan, including strategies or projects, will be dependent on capital funding from the Government and other state agencies. The Council's own funds are allocated under the annual budget that is adopted each year by the Elected Members.</p> <p>16.19.4.1 Development Contributions</p>		

Material Alterations – No submission Received

	<p>Development contributions for the provision of infrastructure and services such as roads, footpaths, surface water drainage, amenity and open space provision, will be applied as appropriate to development proposals granted permission. The details and basis for the determination of the contributions are set out in the Council's Development Contributions Scheme, which is adopted in accordance with Section 48 of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended).</p> <p>16.19.4.2 Other Sources of Funding Other sources of funding that will assist in the implementation of policies and objectives in the Plan include: Rural and Urban Regeneration Funds (RRDF and URDF): These funds arise from the National Development Plan in support of the National Planning Framework (NPF) and other national strategies and are available to support the implementation of local authority developments plans and local economic and community plan objectives. The types of projects eligible for funding include measures to address building vacancy and refurbishment, public realm improvements, enabling infrastructure, and sustainable mobility. Climate Action Fund: This aims to support initiatives that contribute to the achievement of Ireland's climate and energy targets. Smarter Travel and Active Travel Funding: Funding allocated by the National Transport Agency (NTA) to support area-based transport assessments, local transport plans, and sustainable transport projects. Irish Water Capital Investment Funding: Funding for new and upgraded water and wastewater infrastructure e.g. wastewater treatment plants. Small Towns and Villages Growth Programme: Funding to support growth in small towns and villages through upgrades to wastewater treatment plants. National Biodiversity Funding and Grant: Funding to support local authority biodiversity plans and projects. Public Private Partnership: Involves a partnership agreement between the public and private sector for the delivery of specific projects relating to public services and infrastructure. Such approaches ensure a commitment to funding due to interlinked public and private assistance and aims at ensuring the most economically efficient manner of development.</p> <p>16.19.5 Environmental Monitoring Article 10 of the SEA Directive requires monitoring of the significant environmental effects of the implementation of this Plan in order to identify, at an early stage, unforeseen adverse effects and to enable appropriate remedial action to be undertaken. While the ongoing implementation of the policies and objectives of the Plan and the monitoring processes detailed above incorporates some monitoring of environment related objectives, the full and comprehensive monitoring and evaluation assessment, required to be undertaken under Article 10 of the SEA Directive, is set out in the Strategic Environmental Assessment included in Appendix I.</p>
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

II. Material Amendments – Submissions Received – No Change - Recommendation to Adopt.

Ms. Anita Sweeney, Senior Planner, addressed the meeting advising that there were 39 submissions received where no change was recommended.

Of the 39 submissions, it was agreed by the meeting that a cluster roll call would take place in relation to the following chapters and associated amendments listed below. It was agreed that Amendment no's 4, 64, 98 and 169 would be dealt with separately.

Chapter 2 – Core Strategy and Settlement Strategy CE Report Pages. 38-39 MA Consultation Report Page 8
No. 7 (ABTA – District Towns)
No. 8 (Core Strategy RA)
Chapter 3 – Housing CE Report page 45, MA Consultation Report pages. 11-15
No. 18 (RHP – SPNRG)
Chapter 4 - Enterprise and Employment CE Report pg. 45 , MA Consultation Report pages 16-17
No. 22 (M9 / Rail Network – TII)
Chapter 5 – Sustainable Travel and Transport CE Report pages 43-48, MA Consultation Report pages 18-21
No. 29 (Modal Share)
No. 37 (Flexible Car Parking)
No. 38 (Flexible Car Parking)
Chapter 6 – Infrastructure and Environmental Services CE Report Pages 52-602, MA Consultation Report pages 26-27
No. 41 (Support for Suds Policies)
No. 47 (Support FRM Policies)
Chapter 7 – Climate Action and Energy CE Report Pages 52-60, MA Consultation Report Pages 26-27
No. 51 (Co-Location renewable tech)
No. 52 (Co-Location renewable tech)
No. 54 (GSI – support)
Chapter 8 – Community Development CE Report Pages 61-62, MA Consultation Report Pages 28
No. 59 (DoE Support Education policy)
Chapter 9 – Landscape and Green Infrastructure CE Report Pages 63-65, MA Consultation Report Pages 29
No. 65 (Green Infra)
Chapter 10 – Natural and Built Heritage CE Report Pages 66-67, MA Consultation Report pages 30-39
No. 81 (Climate Change and built heritage)
No. 82 (Support deletion of CT 93)
No. 83 (Support deletion of CT 94)
No. 89 (Support community arch projects)
No. 90 (Incorporating recorded monuments in public open space)
Chapter 15 – Town and Village Plans/Settlement plans CE Report Pages 78-88, MA Consultation Report Pages 46-107
Carlow Town
No. 107 (FRM – Carlow Town)
Rathvilly
No. 115 (Support Flood Risk Mgmt – Rathvilly)
No. 116 (Ard Bhaile - Rathvilly)
No. 118 (Rathvilly – Proposed E and E Lands subject to pluvial flooding)
Leighlinbridge
No. 120 (Support Flood Risk Management – Leighlinbridge)
No. 121 (Strategic Reserve Zoning)
Ballon
No. 123 (Support Flood Risk Management – Ballon)
Borris

No. 126 (Support Flood Risk Management – Borris)
Hacketstown
No. 133 (Support Flood Risk Management – Hacketstown)
Carrickduff
No. 136 (Support Flood Risk Management – Carrickduff)
Palatine
No. 141 (Support Flood Risk Management – Palatine)
Rathoe
No. 145 (Support Flood Risk Management – Rathoe)
Fennagh
No. 149 (Support Flood Risk management – Fennagh)
Clonegal
No. 153 (Support Flood Risk Management – Clonegal)
Smaller Service Villages
No. 161 (Support Flood Risk Management – Smaller Serviced Villages)
Rural Nodes
No. 162 (Support Flood Risk Management – Ballymurphy)

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change	
Chapter 2 Core Strategy and Settlement Strategy	
No. 7 (ABTA – District Towns)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-34) supports inclusion of Amendment no. 7</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 7) Insert additional Objective after Section 2.8.2 'District Towns – Policies' (page 26), new text in green as follows: <i>To carry out an Area Based Transport Assessment for the District Towns of Tullow and Muine Bheag as an iterative process with the review of the relevant Local Area Plan which will support and inform the implementation of transport, demand management and land use measures to ensure the sustainable integration of land use and transport planning with an emphasis on enabling sustainable transport and mobility objectives for the Plan area.</i></p>
No. 8 (Core Strategy)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14- 26) raises concern regarding reallocation of units from Carlow Town to Lower Order settlements.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation recommends retaining the allocation as advertised given the redistribution of 22no. units is not significant and will facilitate more balanced development in Ballinabrannagh which is to be subject to a WWTP upgrade and Tinryland.</p>
Chapter 3 Housing	
No. 18 (RHP – SPNRG)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-3) recommends cross refereeing between Policy NRP2 and the Spatial Planning and National Roads Guidelines.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation notes that the relevant provision requested is contained in Section 3.16.5 and therefore not required.</p>
Chapter 5 Sustainable Travel and Transport	
No. 22 (M9 / Rail Network- TII)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-3) recommends that employment locations on the M9/Rail Network should be plan led and brought forward in the context of Regional Freight Strategy and developed in accordance with the SPNRG.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation notes the policy as advertised in the Material Amendments Report (amendment no. 22) will inform the Freight Strategy and acknowledges forthcoming Regional Strategy.</p>

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change	
	<p>(Amendment No. 22) Insert additional Policy to Section 4.4.1 'Locations for Economic Development - Policies' (page 78), new text in green as follows:</p> <p>ED P3: To drive economic growth arising from opportunities within Carlow due to its strategic location and connectivity on the Waterford-Kilkenny-Carlow-Dublin M9/Rail Network, to support collaboration and growth with strategic settlements on this route and to support the creation of Inter Urban Networks of Collaboration and Growth in accordance with RPO 30.</p>
No. 29 (Modal Share)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-34) recommends Modal Share be identified for settlements.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation notes that same will be considered as part of the ABTAs to be prepared, County targets identified in amendment no. 29.</p> <p>(Amendment No. 29) Insert additional text in green to Objective MS O1, Section 5.3 'Sustainable Mobility / Modal Shift' (page 105), as follows:</p> <p>MS O1: Support modal shift at a County level from private vehicles to sustainable modes (walking, cycling Bus or Train) to access work, school, college from 23% in 2016 (Ref: Table 5.1) to 28% in 2028.</p>
No. 37 (Flexible car parking)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-34) recommends an approach for the application of flexible car parking standards.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation notes that same will more appropriately be considered as part of the development management process provided under the provisions of amendment no. 37.</p> <p>(Amendment No. 37) Insert additional text in green to Section 5.14 'Car Parking' (page 121), as follows:</p> <p>The Planning Authority will require car parking to be provided at the rates set out in Chapter 16 Development Management Standards. Reduced car parking provision may be considered for commercial town centre sites in order to tackle urban decline, promote investment and help sustain more sustainable travel modes. Flexibility in car parking standards for development (including residential) may also be applied in urban infill and brownfield sites in urban areas based on performance criteria in order to achieve targeted growth.</p>
No. 38 (Flexible car parking)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-34) requests clarity on how flexibility will be provided in car parking provision in urban infill and brownfield development.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation notes that such detail is provided in Section 5.14. amendment no. 38</p> <p>(Amendment No. 38) Insert additional Policy CS P7, in green to Section 5.14 'Car Parking' (page 122), as follows:</p> <p>To apply flexibility where appropriate in response to well-designed development proposals that can achieve urban infill and brownfield development objectives in urban areas subject to strict qualitative criteria being achieved and provided public safety is not compromised and the environment is suitably protected.</p>
Chapter 6 Infrastructure and Environmental Services	
No. 41 (support for Suds Policies)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-4) welcomes amendment no. 41</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change</p>

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change	
	<p>(Amendment No. 41) Insert additional text to policy SW P2 to Section 6.5 'Surface Water Drainage/SuDS - Policies' (page 130), new text in green as follows: Ensure, as an alternative to underground tanks and piped outfalls to watercourses, that all development proposals incorporate Sustainable Drainage Systems and promote the use of green infrastructure e.g. green roofs, green walls, planting and green spaces for surface water retention purposes, as an integrated part of SuDS and maximise the multi-functional potential of these systems including benefits for biodiversity and amenity value wherever possible.</p>
No. 47 (Support FRM policies)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-8) welcomes amendment no. 47</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 47) Insert additional text to Objective FR O2, Section 6.10.5 'Flood Risk Management – Objectives' (page 145), new text in green as follows: FR O2: Facilitate the provision of new, or the augmentation of existing flood defences and protective measures, where necessary including natural flood management measures where deemed appropriate and to support the implementation of proposed flood schemes while also seeking to ensure zoning or development proposals support and do not impede or prevent the progression of these schemes subject to compliance with the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive, the protection of natural and built heritage and visual amenities.</p>
Chapter 7 Climate Action and Energy	
No. 51 (Co-location renewable tech)	<p>Submissions (CLW-C12 & 28 & 35) support amendments no. 51 Submissions (CLW-C14-5) identifies concerns regarding reference to large scale the renewable energy strategy and references need for guidelines.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The reference to “large-scale” in the text of Section 7.10.1 is intended in a broad sense and for general information purposes, so as to refer to the fact that renewable energy developments can for example apply in smaller domestic and commercial settings, or in larger scale settings for export to the electricity grid. • The text of Section 7.10.1 does not confer any acceptance or otherwise of large-scale renewable energy developments with energy storage facilities. Instead, the text is intended for general information purposes on the potential of such developments. The matter of acceptability would be determined by detailed assessment on a case-by-case basis at project pre-application/application stage and in accordance with relevant prevailing policy, statutory guidelines, environmental criteria, and proper planning and sustainable development. • Required set back distances from renewable energies and battery storage facilities would be determined by detailed assessment on a case-by-case at project pre-application/application stage and in accordance with relevant prevailing policy, statutory guidelines, environmental criteria, and proper planning and sustainable development.

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change	
	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-21 & 35) identifies significant concerns with the Draft Plan and its limited support for onshore wind development and associated impact on achieving national renewable targets.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: The submission reiterates comments made in a previous submission received during the public consultation period for the Draft Plan, or detail that is not subject to an amendment and therefore cannot be taken into account at this material amendments stage. Recommendation: No change. Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 51.</p>
No. 52 (Co-location renewable tech)	<p>Submissions (CLW-C12 & 28 & 35) support amendments no. 52</p> <p>Submission (CLW-C14-21 & 35) identifies significant concerns with the Draft Plan and its limited support for onshore wind development and associated impact on achieving national renewable targets.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: The submission reiterates comments made in a previous submission received during the public consultation period for the Draft Plan, or detail that is not subject to an amendment and therefore cannot be taken into account at this material amendments stage. Recommendation: No change. Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 52.</p>
No. 54 (GSI)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-33) welcomes amendment no. 54 Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 54) Include additional text in green to Section 7.10.3.6 'Geothermal Energy (Ground Source Heat Pumps)' (page 168):</p> <p>In recognition of the important role geothermal energy plays in decarbonising the energy sector, the Geological Survey of Ireland (GSI) has published 'An Assessment of Geothermal Energy for District Heating in Ireland'. This document supports and complements a simultaneous 2020 publication by the Department of Environment, Climate and Communications entitled 'Geothermal Energy in Ireland, A Roadmap for a Policy and Regulatory Framework'.</p>
Chapter 8 Community Development	
No. 59 (DoE Support)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-15) welcomes amendment no. 59</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 59) Insert additional text to policy EF P2, Section 8.10 'Education Facilities - Policies' (page 200), new text in green as follows: EF P2: Support and facilitate, as appropriate and subject to site suitability, the development and expansion of education facilities and services in the County, including: the development of ASD (Autism Spectrum Disorder) units throughout the County to ensure the needs of all students are met: and the protection of existing school sites for education use and where appropriate the land buffers adjoining them.</p>
Chapter 9 Landscape and Green Infrastructure	
No. 65 (Green infra)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-8) welcomes amendment no. 65</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 65) Insert additional text in green to Policy GI P7, Section 9.11 'Green Infrastructure Policies' (page 230) as follows:-</p>

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change	
	GI P7: Promote a network of walking and cycling trails to enhance accessibility to the County’s green infrastructure network, and ensure such proposals are subject to feasibility (including alternatives to the use of existing green infrastructure) and route/site selection processes so that impacts to biodiversity and nature conservation interests are avoided’.
Chapter 10 Natural and Built Heritage	
No. 81 (Climate Change and Built Heritage)	Submission (CLW-C14-33) notes amendment no. 81 Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 81) Insert additional Policy BH P6, Section 10.11 ‘General Built Heritage- Policies’ (page 248) as follows: Promote awareness and the appropriate adaptation of the County’s architectural and archaeological heritage to deal with the effects of climate change.
No. 82 (Support Deletion of CT 93)	Submission (CLW-C14-6) supports deletion of CT93 amendment no. 82 Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No.82) To Delete CT 93 from the Record of Protected Structures, Appendix VIII (page 85)
No. 83 (Support Deletion of CT 94)	Submission (CLW-C14-6) supports deletion of CT94 amendment no. 83 Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No.83) To Delete CT 94 from the Record of Protected Structures, Appendix VIII (page 86)
No. 89 (Support Community initiatives)	Submission (CLW-C14-33) welcomes amendment no. 89 Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 89) Insert additional Policy AH P9, Section 10.12 ‘Archaeological Heritage’ (page 251) as follows: AH P9: Support community initiatives and projects regarding the preservation, presentation and access to archaeological heritage and underwater cultural heritage, provided such are compatible with appropriate conservation policies and standards, having regard to the guidance and advice of the Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.
No. 90 (Recorded monuments in public open space)	Submission (CLW-C14-33) welcomes amendment no. 90 Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 90) Insert additional Policy AH P10, Section 10.12 ‘Archaeological Heritage’ (page 251) as follows: AH P10: Support the incorporation of recorded monuments into designated open spaces and public amenity spaces, provided this is done in a manner compatible with the protection and proper management and conservation of the monument in question. Accordingly, where such incorporation takes place an appropriate and enforceable permanent management and conservation plan will be required.

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change	
Chapter 11 – Tourism and Recreation	
Chapter 15 Town and Village Plans / Settlement Plans – Material Alteration’s 107 – 162	
<i>Carlow Town</i>	
No. 107 (FRM-Carlow Town)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no. 107</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 107) Insert new section and policy after Section 15.1, new text in green as follows:</p> <p><i>15.1.1 Flood Risk Management – Carlow Town</i> <i>The flood risk mitigation measures and justification tests for Carlow Town are detailed in Section 7.11 and Section A.4 of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) prepared as part of this County Development Plan (See Appendix III). General guidance on development management and flood risk is set out in Section 6 of the SFRA.</i></p> <p><i>Flood Risk Management – Carlow Town - Policy</i> <i>It is the policy of the Council to:</i> <i>CW. P1: require the following flood risk mitigation measures to be implemented in Carlow Town:</i></p> <p><i>Defended Town Centre lands: Flood risk to be mitigated by raising ground levels to achieve appropriate finished floor levels.</i> <i>Undefended Town Centre lands: Development is to be restricted to existing vulnerability use and extensions/refits/changes of use.</i> <i>Enterprise & Employment 2 lands (Ref: SFRA Section A4.5 Knocknagee Stream downstream of Castle Oaks area) No less vulnerable development to take place in Flood Zone A.</i> <i>Community & Educational/Commercial and Existing Residential lands downstream of Castle Oaks: Development restricted to extensions renovations and changes of use.</i> <i>Existing Residential/Enterprise & Employment in Mill Race/Springfield area to south of Town: Development restricted to extensions renovations and changes of use.</i> <i>Town Centre and Existing Residential to the west of the Barrow: Development restricted to extensions renovations and changes of use in undefended areas, while in defended areas flood risk to be mitigated by raising ground levels to achieve appropriate finished floor levels.</i></p>
<i>Rathvilly</i>	
No. 115 (Support Flood Risk Mgt-Rathvilly)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no.115</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 115) Insert new section and text after Section 15.3.1.11, with new additional corresponding policies after Section 15.3.1.17, new text in green as follows:</p> <p><i>15.3.1.12 Flood Risk Management</i> <i>The flood risk mitigation measures and justification tests for Rathvilly are detailed in Section 7.30 and Section A.11 of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) prepared as part of this County Development Plan (See Appendix III). General guidance on development management and flood risk is set out in Section 6 of the SFRA.</i></p> <p>Additional policies after Section 15.3.1.17: <i>Flood Risk Management</i> <i>It is the policy of the Council that:</i> <i>RV. P19: Areas of the existing residential zoning (residential and low density residential) located within Flood Zone A and Flood Zone B (as identified on Map 11.1 of the SFRA) are subject to the following requirements:</i></p>

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change

	<p><i>Development is: Limited to extensions, renovations and change of use. Infill residential development and demolition and reconstruction can only take place in Flood Zone C. Any future development should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following: Existing flood data is indicative (CFRAM MPW) and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents. The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable infill and redevelopment shall not be permitted in Flood Zone A or B; FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures; Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard; Bedrooms should be located in the upstairs of two-storey buildings when extending existing property; Flood resilient construction materials and fittings should be considered if in Flood Zone A/B;</i></p> <p><i>Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas, and;</i></p> <p><i>Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events. RV. P20: Any future expansion of the Water Treatment Plan should be subject to a Flood Risk Assessment which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:</i></p> <p><i>An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents as the existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable elements of the site should be located in Flood Zone C, or raised/bunded/protected;</i></p> <p><i>FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to operational levels and potential mitigation measures; Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas, and; Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events. Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.</i></p>
<p>No. 116 (Ard Bhaile – Rathvilly)</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-4 (IW)) Irish Water note that a sequential approach should be adopted when zoning lands for development regarding a number of sites including lands at Ard Bhaile subject of Amendment 116.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: This site comprises part of an unfinished estate and in this circumstance, it is considered that the allocation of a further 16 no. units to this site is appropriate for a number of reasons: Investment to date in the infrastructure on site The need to improve the residential amenities of properties already within the estate. Public safety considerations Visual amenity of the settlement.</p>

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change	
	<p>And the objective to complete the estate to a satisfactory standard within a reasonable timeframe.</p> <p>No change. Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 116.</p>
No. 118 (Rathvilly – Proposed Enterprise and Employment lands subject to pluvial flooding)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-33 (DAU)) Notes that the rezoning of agriculture to enterprise and employment in Rathvilly includes an area of indicative Pluvial Flooding in the SFRA and recommends that a new corresponding specific objective is included that any development includes compensatory pluvial flooding retention areas such as through the incorporation of sufficient and appropriate nature-based above ground SuDS measures.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: Section 4.4.4 of the SFRA (Vol 2- Appendix III) addresses Pluvial Flooding and states <i>The PFRA study considered pluvial flood risk and produced a national set of pluvial flood maps. This dataset was reviewed and used to identify development areas at particular risk of surface water. However, the level of detail contained in the PFRA map, and the widespread distribution of areas at risk did not allow a commentary relating to pluvial flood risk to be developed, or for particularly high-risk areas to be identified. Instead, an overall strategy for the management of pluvial risk is presented and should be implemented across all development proposals. This, and recommendations for the assessment of surface water risks, are provided in the Flood Risk Management Policy section.</i> As advised in the SFRA pluvial flood risk can be managed in line with approved CCCDP Policy and the guidance provided within Section 6 of this SFRA. Recommendation – No Change, adopt amendment no. 118.</p>
<i>Leighlinbridge</i>	
No. 120 (Support Flood Risk Management- Leighlinbridge)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no.120</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 120) Insert new section and text after Section 15.3.2.11, with new additional corresponding policy after Section 15.3.2.17, new text in green as follows:</p> <p><i>15.3.1.12 Flood Risk Management</i> <i>The flood risk mitigation measures and justification tests for Leighlinbridge are detailed in Section 7.21 and Section A.9 of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) prepared as part of this County Development Plan (See Appendix III). General guidance on development management and flood risk is set out in Section 6 of the SFRA.</i></p> <p>Additional policy after Section 15.3.2.17: <i>Flood Risk Management</i> <i>It is the policy of the Council to:</i> <i>LB. P20: Manage flood risk in Leighlinbridge in accordance with the following provisions:</i></p> <p><i>1.Manage flood risk in Leighlinbridge within the existing town centre and existing residential affected by flood risk in accordance with the following provisions:</i></p> <p><i>Any future planning applications for extensions/refits/change of use should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:</i> <i>The sequential approach should be applied if possible;</i></p>

FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to operational levels and potential mitigation measures; Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas, and;

Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events.

Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy

2.Any future development on lands zoned Community/Education with existing nursing home development, shall be subject to FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:

Existing flood data is from the CFRAM but there is limited reporting on water levels. An appropriately detailed FRA would be required to demonstrate how flood risk is mitigated if developing in close proximity to Flood Zone A/B;

The sequential approach should be applied and any extension to the Nursing Home must not encroach into Flood Zone A or B;

FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures;

Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard;

Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.

3.Any future development on the site of the Arboretum zoned Enterprise and Employment should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:

The sequential approach should be applied;

FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to operational levels and potential mitigation measures;

Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas; and,

Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.

4.Development of land zoned Tourism at Rathvinden House within Flood Zone A and B shall be:

Limited to extensions, renovations and change of use (for highly vulnerable uses).

Infill highly vulnerable development and demolition and reconstruction can only take place in Flood Zone C.

Any future development within the site should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:

The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable elements of the site should be located in Flood Zone C, or raised/bunded/protected;

Bedrooms should be located in the upstairs of two-story buildings when extending existing property;

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change

*Flood resilient construction materials and fittings should be considered if in Flood Zone A/B;
FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to operational levels and potential mitigation measures;*

*Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas; and,
Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.*

No. 121 (Strategic Reserve Zoning)

Submission (CLW-C14-8) Objects to rezoning of land on Milford Road from agriculture to Strategic Reserve. The grounds of objection relate to:

Sufficient lands available (L1-L5) within the criteria of sustainability allowing for proper planning with a balanced approach to urban development, development of infrastructure and compliance with DMURS and the advisory note.

Development of the lands would require footpaths and cycle land along the Milford Road which is very narrow and could not cater for the requisite footpaths and cycle lanes in accordance with DMURS.

Challenge in the provision of a sight line in accordance with statutory requirements from a housing development.

Suggests that a more balanced approach to the development of Leighlinbridge would be to consider lands to the eastern side of the River Barrow given the location of the primary school and the land in and around the old factory.

Suggests that zoning of the site strategic reserve would be contrary / non-compliant with a number of policies i.e. LB P8 (maximising spin offs from the Arboretum with improved pedestrian connectivity to the town centre), LB P16 (ensuring growth is balanced and sustainable and appropriate in scale, size and character), LB 13 (promoting development of walkways and cycleways), LB P14 (encourage and facilitate reuse and regeneration of Ballyknockan Manor and Friars Lough), LB P15 (supporting and encouraging residential development on new residential land, underutilised and or vacant lands...), LB P24 (protect individual trees, groups of trees, hedgerows and stone walls in so far as possible).

It is submitted that there is no room for a strategic reserve but that there is clearly adequate provision within the current infrastructure and services to develop zoned sites (L1-L5).

Reference is also made to previous objections including access through a private lane, the unavailability of a sight line, current level of traffic and lack of space to introduce a footpath and cycle lane.

Chief Executive Recommendation: The lands identified in this submission Amendment No 121 are recommended as Strategic Reserve and are not zoned for residential development during the period of this Development Plan.

Chapter 16 Table 16.9 states “*Regarding lands included in the ‘Strategic Reserve’ land bank, it is important to highlight that the inclusion of such lands will not in any way infer a prior commitment on the part of the Council regarding their future zoning in a subsequent development plan. Such a decision will be considered within the framework of national and regional population targets applicable at that time and proper planning and sustainable development*”.

Accordingly, the appropriateness of any future residential zoning including any site-specific constraints which pertain at that time will be

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change

considered and subject to further consultation as part of the next statutory Development Plan process.

It is acknowledged that sufficient land has been zoned to accommodate development as identified in the Core Strategy and given that the lands will not be subject to residential development under the period of this Plan, it is considered that the designation of the site as Strategic Reserve would not be contrary to the provisions of the Leighlinbridge Plan as contained in the Draft Development Plan.
 Recommendation - No change. Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 121.

Ballon

No. 123 (Support Flood Risk Management-Ballon)

Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no.123

Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 123) Insert new section and text after Section 15.3.3.11, with new additional corresponding policy after Section 15.3.3.17, new text in green as follows:

15.3.1.12 Flood Risk Management
The flood risk mitigation measures and justification tests for Ballon are detailed in Section 7.7 and Section A.1 of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) prepared as part of this County Development Plan (See Appendix III). General guidance on development management and flood risk is set out in Section 6 of the SFRA.

Additional policy after Section 15.3.3.17:
Flood Risk Management
It is the policy of the Council to:
BA. P18: Manage flood risk in Ballon in accordance with the following provisions:

1.Development of areas zoned existing residential within Flood Zone A and B shall be:

Limited to extensions, renovations and change of use.
Infill residential development and demolition and reconstruction can only take place in Flood Zone C.

Any future development should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:

Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;
The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable infill and redevelopment shall not be permitted in Flood Zone A or B;
FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures;
Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard;
Bedrooms should be located in the upstairs of two-storey buildings when extending existing property;
Flood resilient construction materials and fittings should be considered if in Flood Zone A/B;
Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas, and; Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and
Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change

2. Developments within areas of the institutional zoning (WWTP) within Flood Zone A and Flood Zone B shall be in accordance with the following provisions:

Any future expansion of the WWTP should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:

Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents.

The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable elements of the site should be located in Flood Zone C, or raised/bunded/protected;

FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to operational levels and potential mitigation measures;

Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas;

Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and

Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.

3. Development at Ballon Garda Station within area zoned Community/Education and within Flood Zone A and B shall be in accordance with the following provisions:

Any future development should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:

Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;

The sequential approach should be applied and any extension to the Garda Station must not encroach into Flood Zone A or B;

FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures;

Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard; and,

Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with CCC SuDS Policy.

Borris

No. 126 (Support Flood Risk Mgt-Borris)

Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no. 126

Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 126) Insert new section and text after Section 15.3.4.11, with new additional corresponding policy after Section 15.3.4.18, new text in green as follows:

15.3.1.12 Flood Risk Management

The flood risk mitigation measures and justification tests for Borris are detailed in Section 7.9 and Section A.2 of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) prepared as part of this County Development Plan (See Appendix III). General guidance on development management and flood risk is set out in Section 6 of the SFRA.

Additional policy after Section 15.3.4.18:

Flood Risk Management

It is the policy of the Council to:

BO. P25: Manage flood risk in Borris in accordance with the following provisions:

1. Any future expansion of the school should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following;

Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;

The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable elements of the site should be located in Flood Zone C, or raised/bunded/protected;

Flood Zone A/B would principally be suitable for playing pitches/water compatible use only;

FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to operational levels and potential mitigation measures;

Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas;

Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and,

Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.

2. Development at the Kelly's Steel Works Site shall be limited to the existing less vulnerable use. Redevelopment as highly vulnerable housing would not be permitted. Any future planning applications on the site should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:

Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents.

The sequential approach should be applied if possible;

FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to operational levels and potential mitigation measures;

Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas;

Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and,

Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.

3. Development of areas zoned existing residential within Flood Zone A and B shall be:

Limited to extensions, renovations and change of use.

Infill residential development and demolition and reconstruction can only take place in Flood Zone C.

Any future development should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change

	<p><i>Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;</i></p> <p><i>The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable infill and redevelopment shall not be permitted in Flood Zone A or B;</i></p> <p><i>FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures;</i></p> <p><i>Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard;</i></p> <p><i>Bedrooms should be located in the upstairs of two-story buildings when extending existing property;</i></p> <p><i>Flood resilient construction materials and fittings should be considered if in Flood Zone A/B;</i></p> <p><i>Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas;</i></p> <p><i>Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and,</i></p> <p><i>Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.</i></p> <p><i>4.Any future planning applications on area comprising northeast corner of lands zoned Enterprise and Employment to the north of Borris should avoid development within Flood Zone A and be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:</i></p> <p><i>Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;</i></p> <p><i>The sequential approach should be applied if possible;</i></p> <p><i>FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to operational levels and potential mitigation measures;</i></p> <p><i>Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas; and,</i></p> <p><i>Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.</i></p>
<p><i>Hacketstown</i></p> <p>No. 133 (Support Flood Risk Mgt-Hacketstown)</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no.133</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 133) Insert new section and text after Section 15.3.5.12, with new additional corresponding policy after Section 15.3.5.19, new text in green as follows:</p> <p><i>15.3.1.12 Flood Risk Management</i></p> <p><i>The flood risk mitigation measures and justification tests for Hacketstown are detailed in Section 7.19 and Section A.7 of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) prepared as part of this County Development Plan (See Appendix III). General guidance on development management and flood risk is set out in Section 6 of the SFRA.</i></p> <p>Additional policy after Section 15.3.5.19:</p> <p><i>Flood Risk Management</i></p> <p><i>It is the policy of the Council to:</i></p> <p><i>HT. P19: Manage flood risk in Hacketstown in accordance with the following provisions:</i></p> <p><i>Development within the existing residential zoning along the steep unnamed stream flowing in culvert through the residential lands shall be:</i></p>

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change

*Limited to extensions, renovations and change of use;
Infill residential development and demolition and reconstruction can only take place in Flood Zone C.*

Any future development should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:

Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;

The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable infill and redevelopment shall not be permitted in Flood Zone A or B;

FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures;

Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard;

The residual risk of culvert blockage should be investigated;

Bedrooms should be located in the upstairs of two-storey buildings when extending existing property in Flood Zone A/B;

Flood resilient construction materials and fittings should be considered if in Flood Zone A/B;

Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas; and,

Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events.

Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.

Carrickduff

No. 136 (Support Flood Risk Mgt-Carrickduff)

Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no.136

Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 136) Insert new section and text after Section 15.3.6.10, with new additional corresponding policy after Section 15.3.6.16, new text in green as follows:

15.3.1.12 Flood Risk Management

The flood risk mitigation measures and justification tests for Carrickduff are detailed in Section 7.10 and Section A.3 of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) prepared as part of this County Development Plan (See Appendix III). General guidance on development management and flood risk is set out in Section 6 of the SFRA.

New policy after Section 15.3.6.16:

Flood Risk Management

It is the policy of the Council to:

CD. P20: Manage flood risk in Carrickduff in accordance with the following provisions:

Any future development in the town centre zoned lands at risk of flooding along the Clody River area must place water compatible development within Flood Zone A/B with no raising of land levels. All development should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:

The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable elements of the site should be located in Flood Zone C, or raised/bunded/protected;

Flood Zone A/B would principally be suitable for water compatible use only;

FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures;

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change	
	<p><i>Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas, and; Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy</i></p>
<i>Palatine</i>	
<p>No. 141 (Support Flood Risk Mgt-Palatine)</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no.141</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 141) Insert new policy under Section 15.4.1, new text in green as follows:</p> <p><i>Flood Risk Management PL. P15: Manage flood risk in Palatine in accordance with the following provisions:</i></p> <p><i>1. Development on part of the Village Core residential lands to the east of the L4015 and within Flood Zone A and Flood Zone B shall be: Limited to extensions, renovations and change of use. Infill residential development and demolition and reconstruction can only take place in Flood Zone C. Any future development should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following: Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents; The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable infill and redevelopment shall not be permitted in Flood Zone A or B; FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures; Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard; Bedrooms should be located in the upstairs of two-storey; buildings when extending existing property; Flood resilient construction materials and fittings should be considered if in Flood Zone A/B; Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas; Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and, Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with CCC SuDS Policy.</i></p> <p><i>2. Development within areas of the existing residential zoning within the Meadowbank Estate within Flood Zone A and Flood Zone B shall be: Limited to extensions, renovations and change of use. Infill residential development and demolition and reconstruction can only take place in Flood Zone C. Any future development should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following: Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents. The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable infill and redevelopment shall not be permitted in Flood Zone A or B; FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures; Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard; Bedrooms should be located in the upstairs of two-storey buildings when extending existing property;</i></p>

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change

Flood resilient construction materials and fittings should be considered if in Flood Zone A/B;
Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas;
Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and,
Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.
 3. *Any future expansion of the WWTP should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following*
Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;
The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable elements of the site should be located in Flood Zone C, or raised/bunded/protected;
FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to operational levels and potential mitigation measures;
Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas, and;
Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and,
Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.

Rathoe

No. 145 (Support Flood Risk Mgt- Rathoe)

Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no.145
 Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change
 (Amendment no. 145) Insert new policy under Section 15.4.3, new text in green as follows:
Flood Risk Management
RT. P16: Manage flood risk in Rathoe in accordance with the following provisions:
New highly vulnerable development is only appropriate within Flood Zone C. New less vulnerable development is only appropriate within Flood Zone B/C. Any future development adjacent to the Flood Zones should be subject to an FRA which must follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and specifically address the following:
Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents.
FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures;
Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard;
Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events.
Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy

Fennagh

No. 149 (Support Flood Risk Mgt- Fennagh)

Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no. 149
 Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change
 (Amendment No. 149) Insert new policy under Section 15.4.4, new text in green as follows:
Flood Risk Management
FN. P16: Manage flood risk in Fennagh in accordance with the following provisions:

1. For areas zoned existing residential within the Woodglade Estate within Flood Zone A and B, development shall be:
 Limited to extensions, renovations and change of use; and,
 Infill residential development and demolition and reconstruction can only take place in Flood Zone C.
 Any future development should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:
 Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;
 The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable infill and redevelopment shall not be permitted in Flood Zone A or B;
 FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures;
 Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard;
 Bedrooms should be located in the upstairs of two-storey buildings when extending existing property;
 Flood resilient construction materials and fittings should be considered if in Flood Zone A/B;
 Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas;
 Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and,
 Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.

2. For part of the Village Core lands to the east of the R724 located within Flood Zone A and Flood Zone B. Any future expansion of the WWTP should be subject to an FRA, it would not be appropriate to place residential or other highly vulnerable use in the Village Core lands. Any future FRA should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:
 Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;
 The sequential approach should be applied and highly vulnerable elements of the site should be located in Flood Zone C, or raised/bunded/protected;
 FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to operational levels and potential mitigation measures;
 Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas, and;
 Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and,
 Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.

3. Parts of the Creche and Church site are located within Flood Zone A/B. Any future expansion of the creche should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:
 Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;
 The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable elements of the site should be located in Flood Zone C, or raised/bunded/protected;
 Flood Zone A/B would principally be suitable for playing pitches/water compatible use only;
 FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to operational levels and potential mitigation measures;

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change	
	<p><i>Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas;</i> <i>Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and</i> <i>Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.</i> <i>The Church lands adjacent/within the Flood Zone are used as a burial ground. A groundwater impact assessment may be advisable for the lower lying part of the site adjacent/within the Flood Zones to ensure groundwater is not negatively impacted.</i></p>
<i>Clonegal</i>	
No. 153 (Support Flood Risk Mgt-Clonegal)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no.153</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 153) Insert new policy under Section 15.4.6, new text in green as follows:</p> <p><i>Flood Risk Management</i> <i>CG. P19: Manage flood risk on part of the village core lands to the east of the L2021 within Flood Zone A and B in Clonegal in accordance with the following provisions:</i></p> <p><i>Development shall be:</i></p> <p><i>Limited to extensions, renovations and change of use; and, Infill residential development and demolition and reconstruction can only take place in Flood Zone C.</i></p> <p><i>Any future development should be subject to an FRA which should follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and must specifically address the following:</i></p> <p><i>Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;</i> <i>The sequential approach should be applied, and highly vulnerable infill and redevelopment shall not be permitted in Flood Zone A or B;</i> <i>FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures;</i> <i>Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard;</i> <i>The residual risk of bridge blockage should be investigated;</i> <i>Bedrooms should be located in the upstairs of two-storey buildings when extending existing property in Flood Zone A/B;</i> <i>Flood resilient construction materials and fittings should be considered if in Flood Zone A/B;</i> <i>Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas;</i> <i>Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and,</i> <i>Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.</i></p>
<i>Smaller Serviced Villages</i>	
No. 161 (Support Flood Risk Mgt-Smaller Serviced Villages)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no.161</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 161) Insert new policy under Section 15.5, new text in green as follows:</p> <p><i>Flood Risk Management</i> <i>SV. P10: Manage flood risk in Bennekerry, Glynn, Old Leighlin and St. Mullins in accordance with the following provisions:</i></p>

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change

New highly vulnerable development is only appropriate within Flood Zone C. New less vulnerable development is only appropriate within Flood Zone B/C. For existing development within Flood Zone A/B it is not appropriate to undertake significant redevelopment whereby additional numbers of people are introduced into the Flood Zone. Any future development should be subject to an FRA which must follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and specifically address the following:

Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;

FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures;

Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard;

For existing development in Zone A/B extensions/renovations bedrooms should be located in the upstairs of two-storey buildings;

Flood resilient construction materials and fittings should be considered if in Flood Zone A/B;

Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas;

Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events; and,

Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.

Rural Nodes

No. 162 (Support Flood Risk Mgt-Ballymurphy)

Submission (CLW-C14-7) supports amendment no.162

Chief Executive Recommendation: noted no change (Amendment No. 162) Insert new policy under Section 15.6, new text in green as follows:

Flood Risk Management

RN. P7: Manage flood risk in Ballymurphy in accordance with the following provisions:

New highly vulnerable development is only appropriate within Flood Zone C. New less vulnerable development is only appropriate within Flood Zone B/C. For existing development within Flood Zone A/B it is not appropriate to undertake significant redevelopment whereby additional numbers of people are introduced into the Flood Zone. Any future development should be subject to an FRA which must follow the general guidance provided in Section 6 of the SFRA and specifically address the following:

Existing flood data is indicative and does not provide flood levels. An appropriately detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm flood levels and extents;

FRA should address climate change scenarios in relation to FFLs and potential mitigation measures;

Finished floor levels should be above the 1% AEP level plus climate change and freeboard;

For existing development in Zone A/B extensions/renovations bedrooms should be located in the upstairs of two-storey buildings;

Flood resilient construction materials and fittings should be considered if in Flood Zone A/B;

Proposals should not impede existing flow paths or cause flood risk impacts to the surrounding areas;

Emergency evacuation plan and defined access / egress routes should be developed for extreme flood events;

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change

Any development shall also be required to be built in accordance with Carlow County Council SuDS Policy.

A roll call vote took place on the proposal of Cllr. F. Browne, seconded by Cllr. A. Dalton to agree with no change and recommendation to adopt.

Member of Carlow County Council	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton			√	
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The vote resulted in: 17 for, 1 abstain.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried**

The meeting agreed to carry out individual voting roll calls for the following chapters and associated amendments.

Chapter 1 – Introduction and Context
No. 4 (SO re Tourism)
Chapter 9 – Landscape and Green Infrastructure
No. 64 (Blue Infra)
Chapter 11 – Tourism and Recreation
No. 98 (Masterplan R. Barrow)
Chapter 16 – Town and Village Plans / Settlement Plans Carlow Town
No. 169 (Neighbourhood facilities & Floorspace cap neighbourhood centre).

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation No Change

Chapter 1 Introduction and Context

<p>No. 4 (SO re: Tourism)</p>	<p>Submission (CLW 14-36) references the Barrow Blueway and opposes inclusion of SO 14 relating to tourism.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation recommends no change which recognises the importance of supporting and promoting the tourism industry. (Amendment No. 4) Insert additional Strategic Objective (page 15) Section 1.6 'Strategic Themes and Objectives', new text in green as follows: SO. 14: Support and promote tourism in the county as a key economic driver in a sustainable manner that is compatible with the sensitivity of rural areas, the existing quality of life, and the protection and enhancement of the county's natural environment.</p>
-------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

A roll call vote took place on Chapter 1, Introduction and Context, Amendment No. 4 on the proposal of Cllr. T. O' Neill and Seconded by Cllr. K. Murnane.

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney		√		
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton	√			
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The vote resulted in 17 For 1 against.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried**

Chapter 9 Landscape and Green Infrastructure

<p>No. 64 (Blue Infra)</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-36) requests in relation to Amendment 64 that the addition of 'Blue' infrastructure be removed at this late stage of the Plan, and notes that:</p> <p>Blue Infrastructure and Green Infrastructure are different, and the addition of this definition is not acceptable at this stage in the plan. There has been no chance to comment on and interrogate the inclusion of "Blue" Infrastructure in the Plan in this section titled 'Benefits of Green Infrastructure'.</p> <p>The Department noted " <i>It should be acknowledged that in order to achieve CDP Green Infrastructure policy objectives, it will be necessary to map existing and proposed Green Infrastructure and ecological corridors</i></p>
----------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p><i>(both existing and proposed) at a settlement plan level as well as at a county level.” It would also be necessary to map Blue Infrastructure. Links between Green and Blue Infrastructure have not been open to public submissions and consultation.</i></p> <p>Chief Executive Response: (Amendment No. 64) recommends inserting additional text in green to Section 9.10 ‘Benefits of Green Infrastructure’ (page 228) as follows:- Section 9.10 Benefits of Green and Blue Infrastructure Green and blue infrastructure is essentially the green spaces and the water environment.</p> <p>Chapter 9 addresses Green Infrastructure which is a broad term which includes natural and semi natural areas. Section 9.9 indicates that potential green infrastructure includes among other areas natural and semi-natural areas e.g., rivers, wetlands, flood plains etc. The chapter identifies the important benefits of both Green and Blue Infrastructure to people, including the provision of clean water, better health and human wellbeing, flood alleviation and enhanced biodiversity through improved habitats for wildlife. The Amendment clarifies the content of the section and is not introducing a new concept. GI O1 further indicates that it is an objective of the Council to ‘Prepare a Green Infrastructure Strategy for County Carlow in accordance with international best practice and in partnership with key stakeholders and the public”. Accordingly, engagement / public participation will be facilitated as part of this strategy.</p> <p>Recommendation - No change. Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 64.</p>
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

A discussion took place in relation to amendment no. 64, in particular with reference to the wording blue infrastructure and the perception of hard surface works to the towpath of the River Barrow and including a general discussion on the definition and use of the term blueway.

Cllr. J. Cassin proposed an amendment to the Chief Executive Recommendation as follows: to remove the words “and blue”. This was seconded by Cllr. A. Wallace.

A roll call on the request to remove the words “and blue” was taken as follows:

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne		√		
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton		√		
Michael Doran		√		
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella			√	
Arthur McDonald		√		
John McDonald		√		
Ken Murnane		√		
Charlie Murphy			√	
John Murphy		√		
Brian O’Donoghue		√		
Tom O’Neill		√		
William Paton	√			
John Pender		√		
Fintan Phelan		√		
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The vote resulted in 5 for 11 against, 2 abstain.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Defeated.**

A roll call then took place on the Chief Executive Recommendation Amendment No. 64 to adopt.

Proposed: Cllr T. O' Neill

Seconded: Cllr. K. Murnane

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin		√		
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney		√		
Thomas Kinsella		√		
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy			√	
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton		√		
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn		√		
Adrienne Wallace		√		

The vote resulted in 11 for 6 against 1 abstain.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried**

Chapter 11 – Tourism and Recreation	
No. 98 (Masterplan River Barrow)	<p>Submissions (CLW-C14- 9, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17-20, 22-25, 27, 29, 31, 32 & 36) The submission reference numbers listed/grouped relate to some / all the concerns regarding Amendment 98 as relating to the provisions of Section 11.6 of the Plan for Greenways and Blueways. The content of these submissions address issues and concerns regarding reference to blueway plans for the River Barrow, consultation with Waterways Ireland, the development of a hard surface along the towpath of the River Barrow, the protection of the River Barrow as a natural amenity and designated Special Area of Conservation, the right of public participation, and the Aarhus Convention. The submissions can therefore be grouped and summarised as follows:</p> <p>Opposition to inclusion of Amendment 98. Remove additional text <i>"and in collaboration with adjoining local authorities and stakeholders as appropriate"</i>. Section 11.6 should be changed and/or omitted, because inclusion: will green light a new Blueway plan for the River Barrow, when Waterway's Ireland Barrow Blueway Proposal was already refused by the local Authority and An Bord Pleanála; will be against the public submission (individuals and groups) to the CDP consultations and the Aarhus Convention which gives the right of public participation in environmental decision-making, and the right to review procedures to challenge public decisions that have been made without</p>

	<p>respecting the rights of the Aarhus convention or environmental law in general ("access to justice").</p> <p>Support biodiversity by keeping the Barrow the way it is, protect it for future generations, and use it to teach children about biodiversity and the environment.</p> <p>Beautiful natural walkway should be left alone.</p> <p>Amendment 98 would be detrimental to biodiversity, would be contrary to preserving the SAC, and there are serious difficulties with flooding on the Barrow Track.</p> <p>Barrow Blueway has already been refused permission by the Planning Authority and An Bord Pleanála.</p> <p>Overwhelming number of submissions are against the writing of a Barrow Blueway into the Plan.</p> <p>Including a plan to facilitate a Waterways Ireland Barrow Blueway on the River Barrow SAC in the County Development Plan 2022-28 ignores section 12(11) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended).</p> <p>Public's contributions on submissions to the Draft Plan calling for changes to Section 11.6 have been dismissed.</p> <p>Considers it an absolute disgrace that plans to ruin Barrow path may even be contemplated by the Council and all involved should work with protection groups to find a balanced Plan.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: Amendment No. 98 includes additional text in green as outlined hereunder;</p> <p>(Amendment No. 98) Insert additional text in green to Policy GB P3, Section 11.6 'Green and Blueway – Policies' (page 272) as follows: GB P3: Support the development of a tourism masterplan for the River Barrow, and in collaboration with adjoining local authorities and stakeholders as appropriate, which sets out an integrated framework for tourism development along the River Barrow.</p> <p>This text facilitates collaboration with adjoining authorities and stakeholders in the preparation of a tourism masterplan for the river Barrow. The intention of the masterplan is to guide in a collaborative manner the sustainable tourism development of the Barrow for County Carlow and adjoining counties. This will consider the potential of harnessing the opportunities arising from the Barrow's natural and cultural assets in a sustainable manner. The tourism masterplan will be underpinned by environmental considerations and any development proposals arising will be considered in the context of environmental protections which exist and biodiversity considerations. Such considerations are provided for in the Plan and associated amendments to Chapter 10 Natural and Built Heritage. Public participation will also inform the preparation of any forthcoming Masterplan. Recommendation - No change. Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 98.</p>
--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Ms. Anita Sweeney, Senior Planner, addressed the meeting on the proposed amendment no. 98 and the Chief Executive recommendation of no change.

A discussion took place in relation to Amendment no. 98 and the protection of natural heritage in parallel to any economic opportunities that may arise. Ms. Anita Sweeney, Senior Planner, advised that any works / planning application would be subject to existing statutory provisions under the Habitats Directive and the planning legislation.

Cllr. T. Kinsella proposed a change to the wording to the Chief Executive's Report as follows: "Support the Development of Tourism Plan for the River Barrow which preserves the integrity of River Barrow and River Nore SAC, its protected species, its

biodiversity its sensitive green landscape, its water quality and in collaboration with adjoining stakeholders as appropriate which sets out an integrated framework for tourism development along the River Barrow.” This was seconded by Cllr. C. Murphy.

A Roll call took place on the amendment to the Chief Executive Recommendation on the proposal of Cllr. T. Kinsella and seconded by Cllr. C. Murphy.

Member of Carlow County Council	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
Fergal Browne		√		
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton		√		
Michael Doran		√		
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald		√		
John McDonald		√		
Ken Murnane		√		
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy		√		
Brian O'Donoghue		√		
Tom O'Neill		√		
William Paton	√			
John Pender		√		
Fintan Phelan		√		
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The Vote resulted in; 7 in favour, 11 against.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Defeated.**

It was then agreed by the meeting to vote on the recommendations of the Chief Executive Report to adopt no change.

Proposed by Cllr. T. O' Neill
Seconded by K. Murnane.

Roll Call took place as follows:

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin		√		
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney		√		
Thomas Kinsella		√		
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy		√		
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			

Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton		√		
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn		√		
Adrienne Wallace		√		

The vote resulted in 11 for 7 against.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

Chapter 16 Development Management Standards	
No. 169 (Neighbourhood facilities & floorspace cap neighbourhood centre)	<p>Submission CLW-C14-13 refers to Amendment No. 169 i.e. reducing floorspace cap from 1500sqm to 1200sqm The floorspace cap proposed for the land use zoning objective is of concern in that it undermines the potential for development in the Neighbourhood Centre zone and puts these lands at a distinct disadvantage in comparison to the Commercial / Residential zoned lands. The removal or adjustment of the cap is proposed accordingly.</p> <p>In the absence of resolution of this issue (which is readily available), Lidl request that the (extended / realigned) site zoning revert back to Commercial / Residential, which does not have a floorspace cap and where unfettered Shop (convenience) use is Permitted in Principle (Refer amendment no 109(i) Table 4.</p> <p>Considers that the proposed restriction of “a single shop unit” or “Shop (Convenience)” to be not greater than 1,200 sqm net. is unduly restrictive and would place Neighbourhood Centre lands at a disadvantage to Commercial / Residential lands and would undermine the achievement of Development Plan and Retail Strategy policies and objectives.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: The CE Report on the Draft Plan considered the issues pertaining to overall floor areas for neighbourhood centres and noted <i>The Retail Planning Guidelines, 2012 define Neighbourhood Centre as:</i> <i>“Comprising a small group of shops, typically comprising newsagent, small supermarket/general grocery store, sub-post office and other small shops of a local nature serving a small, localised catchment population.” The limit of 1,200 sqm net floorspace contained in the Draft Development Plan for a convenience supermarket in a Neighbourhood Centre is considered to align with a ‘small supermarket’ to serve a localised catchment as per the stated provisions of the Retail Planning Guidelines, 2012.</i> No change recommended to floorspace caps.</p>

A roll call took place the recommendation to adopt proposed amendment No. 169

Proposed: Cllr. M. Doran

Seconded: Cllr. T. O' Neill

Member of Carlow County Council	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			

Andy Gladney		√		
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane		√		
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton		√		
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan				√
William Quinn	√			√
Adrienne Wallace			√	

The Vote resulted in; 13 in favour, 3 against, 2 abstain and 1 absent.
Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

(iii) Material Alterations – Submission Received – Recommendation – Subject to Minor Modification. Minor modification identified in blue text.

It was then agreed by the meeting that following chapters and associated Material amendments would be voted on as roll cluster. Amendment no's 40, 102 and 109(ii) would be dealt with separately.

Chapter 5 – Sustainable Travel and Transport CE Report Pages 43-48, MA Consultation Report Pages 18-21
No. 27 (Doc Title)
No. 30 (ABTA – Consultees)
No. 31 (Rail Review & Freight Strategy)
No. 33 (Bus – consultees)
Chapter 6 – Infrastructure and Environmental Services CE Report Pages 49-51, MA Consultation Report Pages 22-25
No. 42 (Policy Suds P5 & P6)
Chapter 7 – Climate Action and Energy CE Report Pages, 52-60, MA Consultation Report Pages 26-27
No. 56 (SuDs Viable)
Minor Amendment Proposed on foot of submission CLW-C14-28(DoE) to reflect updated Climate Action Plan 2021. (CE Report Pg 58-59)
Chapter 15 – Town and Village Plans / Settlement Plans CE Report Pages 78-88 MA Consultation Report Pages 46-107
Rathvilly
No. 117 (Rathvilly – Proposed Community zoning subject to open space provision).
Kildavin
No. 156 (Proposed – extend site boundary zone for residential and rezone proposed residential to agriculture).
Tinryland
No. 158 (Proposed unzoned to low density residential subject to minor amendment).
Chapter 16 – Development Management Standards CE Report Pages 89-93, MA Consultation Report Pages 108-115.
No. 164 (Requirements for EV charging Points)

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation Subject to Minor Modification	
Chapter 5 Sustainable Travel and Transport	
No. 27 (Doc title)	Submission (CLW-C14-34 (NTA) Suggests change to Amendment no. 27 from Department of Transport's forthcoming long-term investment

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation Subject to Minor Modification	
	<p>framework for land transport to National Investment Framework for Transport in Ireland.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation: Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 27 with Minor Amendment changing text from Department of Transport's forthcoming long-term investment framework for land transport to National Investment Framework for Transport in Ireland.</p>
No. 30 (ABTA – consultees)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-34 (NTA)) supports Amendment no. 30 with a suggested amendment "To prepare local transport plans, based on the Area Based Transport Assessment (ABTA) approach in consultation with NTA, TII, public transport providers larnod Eireann and other relevant stakeholders.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation: Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 30 with Minor Amendment to text from To carry out an ABTA / Local Transport Plan to "To prepare local transport plans, based on the Area Based Transport Assessment (ABTA) approach in consultation with NTA, TII, public transport providers IE and other relevant stakeholders.</p>
No. 31 (Rail Review & Freight Strategy)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-34 (NTA)) re: Amendment no. 31 advises that an All Island Strategic Rail Review is now being undertaken while the Rail Freight Strategy has been published.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation: The Draft Plan includes reference to the Strategic Rail Review in Section 5.5.1. The reference to the rail freight strategy being published is a minor amendment and acceptable. Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 31 with Minor Amendment to text changing from forthcoming Rail Freight Strategy to published Rail Freight Strategy.</p>
No. 33 (Bus-consultees)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-34 (NTA)) Recommends following changes to Amendment no. 33 "PT P2 Support transport agencies, including the NTA and public transport service providers and larnod Eireann in the provision of new public transport services...."</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation: The minor modification requested replacing larnod Eireann to cover broader public transport service providers is acceptable. Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 33 with Minor Amendment to text omitting larnod Eireann and replacing with broader reference to public transport service providers "PT P2 Support transport agencies, including the NTA and public transport service providers and larnod Eireann in the provision of new public transport services...."</p>
Chapter 6 Infrastructure and Environmental Services	
No. 42 (Policy Suds P5)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-4 (IW)) In relation to amendment no. 42 for Section 6.5 of the Plan, IW would welcome the inclusion of the following text in red for Policy SW P5:</p> <p><i>SW P5: Seek to minimise in as far as is practical the discharge of additional and existing surface water to combined (foul and surface water) sewers (in existing combined sewer serviced areas) in order to maximise the capacity of existing collection systems for foul water.</i></p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation: Adopt Proposed Amendment 42 with Minor Amendment to include reference to <i>and existing</i> in Policy SW P5 (Amendment no. 42)</p> <p><i>SW P5: Seek to minimise in as far as is practical the discharge of additional and existing surface water to combined (foul and surface water) sewers (in existing combined sewer serviced areas) in order to maximise the capacity of existing collection systems for foul water.</i></p>
No. 42 – (Policy P6 SuDS- viable)	

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation Subject to Minor Modification	
	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-26 (SRA) requests clarity on the SuDS requirements as relating to Amendment 42 for Policy SW P6 and Amendment No. 56 relating to the use of the wording ‘where appropriate’ in Policy SW P6 and ‘all development’ in Section 7.13.6. (Amendment no. 56)</p> <p><i>SW P6: Require all new developments to provide a separate foul and surface water drainage system and to incorporate sustainable urban drainage systems where appropriate in new development and the public realm.</i></p> <p>and Amendment 56 for Section 7.13.6: <i>In recognition of the importance of SuDS, and to reduce the potential impact of existing and predicted flood risks and to improve biodiversity and amenity value, the Council has is preparing a new SuDS policy/guidance document which will be adopted during the lifetime of this Plan. It is an objective of the Council to require all development (including extensions to existing development) proposals to incorporate SuDS measures. (Refer also to Chapter 6).</i></p> <p>Chief Executive’s Recommendation: Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 42 subject to Minor Amendment (in blue) to Policy SWP6: <i>SW P6: Require all new developments to provide a separate foul and surface water drainage system and to incorporate sustainable urban drainage systems where appropriate / viable in new development and the public realm.</i></p>
Chapter 7 Climate Action and Energy	
No. 56 (SuDs – viable)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-26 (SRA) Per detail in preceding section. Chief Executive’s Recommendation: Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 56 subject to Minor Amendment (in blue) for Section 7.13.6: <i>In recognition of the importance of SuDS, and to reduce the potential impact of existing and predicted flood risks and to improve biodiversity and amenity value, the Council has is preparing a new SuDS policy/guidance document which will be adopted during the lifetime of this Plan. It is an objective of the Council to require all development <i>where viable</i> (including extensions to existing development) proposals to incorporate SuDS measures. (Refer also to Chapter 6).</i></p>
Chapter 15 Town and Village Plans / Settlement Plans	
<i>Carlow Town</i>	
<i>Rathvilly</i>	
No.117 (Rathvilly- Proposed Community zoning subject to open space provision)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-33 (DAU) notes that the rezoning of land in Rathvilly from open space and amenity to community / education includes a watercourse which is hydrologically connected to the SAC. The dept recommends that a buffer of open space be retained along this stream and around the location of the nearby spring feature. This measure it is stated is required in order to protect downstream water quality within the SAC, to conserve Rathvilly’s green infrastructure and to protect this ecological corridor.</p> <p>Chief Executive’s Recommendation: It is considered that a minor amendment can be included to ensure that as part of any development on this site, sufficient Open Space (informed by an ecological study) should be retained as a buffer on either side of the watercourse and around the location of the nearby spring feature. Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 117 subject to Minor Amendment with inclusion of text as follows: <i>As part of any</i></p>

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation Subject to Minor Modification

	<p>development on this site, sufficient Open Space (informed by an ecological study) should be retained as a buffer on either side of the watercourse and around the location of the nearby spring feature.</p>
<p><i>Kildavin</i></p>	
<p>No. 156 (Proposed – extend site boundary zone for res and rezoned proposed res to agriculture)</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-3 (TII) In relation to Amendment No. 156 for Kildavin, TII notes the proposal to zone lands New Residential to the west of the slip roads to the N80. In this regard TII refer to Section 2.7 of the DoECLG Spatial Planning and National Roads Guidelines, which advises that planning authorities must exercise particular care in their assessment of development/local area plan proposals relating to the development objectives and/or zoning of locations at or close to interchanges/junction on national roads.</p> <p>TII note that they are not aware of any analysis undertaken by the Council to develop an evidence base, in accordance with the provisions of official policy, to establish potential implications for the strategic national road network in the area and to support the proposed zoning at this location.</p> <p>TII request that the Council review the New Residential zoning to the west of the slip roads off the N80, taking account of by Spatial Planning and National Roads Guidelines, to ensure zoning can progress complementary to safeguarding the strategic function and safety of the national road.</p> <p>Chief Executive’s Recommendation: While it is acknowledged that the site is located along the slip road off the N80 the level of development proposed (i.e. 15 units) is not deemed significant in the context of traffic generation and potential impact on associated junctions. The density of development is also of such a scale that the layout of any potential scheme could ensure that any future enhancement of the slip road is not compromised into the future. In this regard it is considered that additional text can be included as a minor amendment to clarify the foregoing.</p> <p>Minor Amendment to include text as follows: <i>Design and layout considerations shall take account of The Spatial Planning and National Roads Guidelines, including appropriate set back from the N80 slip road, to ensure the strategic function and safety of the national road is safeguarded.</i></p>
<p><i>Tinryland</i></p>	
<p>No. 158 (Proposed unzoned to low density res subject to minor amendment)</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-4 (IW) Irish Water note that a sequential approach should be adopted when zoning lands for development regarding a number of sites including lands at Amendment No 158 to facilitate three no. units opposite the village centre.</p> <p>Chief Executive’s Recommendation: The site is located centrally within the village being located directly opposite the village core as zoned in the Draft Plan and between two established housing areas. Given the central location of the site, proximity to the school, educational and other community facilities the zoning of the site is deemed appropriate having regard to the principles of sequential development. Since the drafting of the CDP Irish Water have announced funding for the upgrade of Tinryland WWTP. The details regarding same are awaited. However, it is considered appropriate that the amendment be subject to a minor amendment to require connection to public infrastructure where same may be made available during the period of this Plan.</p> <p>To extend the boundary and zone the site new residential 3 no. units on individual wastewater treatment systems, <i>unless public wastewater infrastructure upgrade is made available during the period of this plan.</i></p>

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation Subject to Minor Modification

Chapter 16 Development Management Standards

No. 164 (Requirements for EV Charging Points)

Further to submission Nos 12 and 13 the relevant provisions of the EUROPEAN UNION (ENERGY PERFORMANCE OF BUILDINGS) REGULATIONS 2021 S.I. No. 393 of 2021 have been reviewed. The Table contained in Amendment no. 164 based on the ESB submission extends beyond the requirements of the Regulations. It is recommended that the Table be removed and reference to compliance with the Regulations is sufficient.

Chief Executive’s Recommendation
 Adopt Proposed Amendment No. 164 subject to Minor Amendment to omit the Table. Reference to the Regulations is considered sufficient.

Section 16.10.12 EV Charging Points
 (Amendment No. 164) Amend Section 16.10.2 ‘EV Charging Points’ (page 517):- deleted text in red, new text in green as follows:

All developments should provide facilities for the charging of battery-operated cars ~~at a minimum rate of 10% of the total car parking spaces or as maybe updated by national guidance.~~ in accordance with the standards in in S.I. No. 393/2021 EU (Energy Performance of Buildings) Regulations 2021 ~~and Table X below~~, or as maybe updated by national legislation and guidance.

~~The remainder of the car parking spaces should be constructed (wiring and ducting) so as to be capable of accommodating future charging points, as required.~~

EV Charging Points	
Residential multi-unit developments both new buildings and buildings undergoing major renovations (with private car spaces including visitor car parking spaces).	A minimum of 1 EV charge point space per five car parking spaces (ducting for every car parking space shall also be provided).
New dwellings with in-curtilage car parking.	Installation of appropriate infrastructure to enable installation of recharging point for EVs.
Non-residential developments (with private car parking spaces including visitor car parking spaces with more than 10 spaces e.g. office developments).	Provide at least 1 recharging point, and a minimum of 1 space per five car parking spaces should be equipped with one fully functional EV charging point.
Developments with publicly accessible spaces (e.g. supermarket car park, cinema etc.)	Provide at least 1 recharging point, and a minimum of 1 space per five car spaces

Material Alterations – Submission Received Recommendation Subject to Minor Modification			
		should be equipped with one fully functional EV Charging Point.	

The Cathaoirleach asked members if there were any points they wished to raise. There were no comments. A roll call took place on the proposal of Cllr F. Browne and seconded by Cllr. A. Dalton. The Vote was taken as follows;

Member of Carlow County Council	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton	√			
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The result of this vote was 18 for.
Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

It was agreed by the meeting that individual roll calls would take place in respect of the following chapters and amendment no's. 40, 102 and 109(ii)

Chapter 6 – Infrastructure and Environmental Services CE Report Pgs. 49-51, MA Consultation Report Pages 22-25
<i>No.40 (IW policy re developer provided infra)</i>
Chapter 11 – Tourism and Recreation CE Report pages 69-73, MA Consultation pages 40-43
<i>No. 102 (Turas Columbanus Route – AA/ecology)</i>
Chapter 15 Town and Village Plans/Settlement Plans CE Report Pages 78-88, MA Consultation Report pages 46-107
Carlow Town
<i>No. 109 (Lidl (ii))</i>

Chapter 6 Infrastructure and Environmental Services	
No. 40 (IW policy re developer provided infra)	Submission (CLW-C14-4 (IW) In relation to amendment no. 40 for Section 6.4 in the Plan, IW would welcome inclusion of the following comment – “Alternative solutions such as private wells or wastewater treatment plants should not generally be considered by planning authorities. Irish Water will not retrospectively take over responsibility

	<p><i>for developer provided treatment facilities or associated networks, unless agreed in advance. The opportunity may arise for the development to connect into the network in the future, however, the developer provided treatment facility would be not taken over by Irish Water”.</i></p> <p>Chief Executive’s Recommendation: Given the rural nature of the County it would not be appropriate to state that alternative solutions such as private wells or wastewater treatment plants would not generally be considered. Clarity on the circumstances on where private infrastructure may be considered is included in Section 6.4 of the Plan. In particular it indicates that shared private wastewater treatment plants for multi house developments will not be permitted. Where a development is to service a commercial / tourism use it must comply with a number of criteria including retaining the development in single ownership to ensure maintenance of the treatment plant into the future. The position regarding developer provided infrastructure and the policy of Irish Water can be included for clarity purposes. <i>Irish Water will not retrospectively take over responsibility for developer provided treatment facilities or associated networks, unless agreed in advance. The opportunity may arise for the development to connect into the network in the future, however, the developer provided treatment facility would be not taken over by Irish Water”.</i></p> <p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 40 with Minor Amendment to Include footnote to Policy WT P1 which constitutes a minor amendment to clarify policy of Irish Water as follows: Note: - <i>Irish Water will not retrospectively take over responsibility for developer provided treatment facilities or associated networks, unless agreed in advance. The opportunity may arise for the development to connect into the network in the future, however, the developer provided treatment facility would be not taken over by Irish Water”.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">•</p>
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

A roll call vote took place on the proposal of Cllr. M. Doran and seconded by Cllr. Arthur McDonald not to insert the blue text and retain wording of Proposed Material Amendment.

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O’Donoghue	√			
Tom O’Neill	√			
William Paton	√			
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			

Adrienne Wallace	√			
------------------	---	--	--	--

The result was 18 for

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

Chapter 11 – Tourism and Recreation	
No. 102 (Turas Columbaus Route – AA / ecology)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-33 (DAU) The Department submission notes Amendment no 102, “<i>R P12: Support the development and promotion of the Turas Columbaus as part of the Columban Way Walk, including appropriately designed and located signage, and in collaboration with adjoining local authorities and key stakeholders as appropriate</i> and potential to affect the integrity of the SACs. Recommends further details of Turas Columbanus should be included in the CDP including where possible a map showing an indicative route. The Department considers that this long-distance route has the potential to have significant negative effects on other sensitive ecological receptors both within and outside the county. The Dept advises that environmental assessments (screening for AA and Ecological Impact Assessment) must be carried out in advance of any works taking place to develop this route. This should be specified in the CDP and SEA Environmental Report.</p> <p>Chief Executive’s Recommendation: It is considered that the Plan incorporates policy provision to ensure appropriate environmental assessments are carried out . Ref amendment no’s 68, 69, 70, 74 and 75. However, in the interests of clarity, it is considered that reference can be made to the requirement for screening for Appropriate Assessment and Ecological Impact Assessment) must be carried out in advance of any works taking place to develop this route. Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 102 with minor amendment to include the following text: Screening for Appropriate Assessment, and Ecological Impact Assessment must be carried out in advance of any works taking place to develop this route.</p>

A roll call took place on the proposal of Cllr. C. Murphy and seconded Cllr. J. Cassin not to agree with Chief Executive’s Recommendation of inserting blue text.

Member of Carlow County Council	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O’Donoghue	√			
Tom O’Neill	√			
William Paton	√			

John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The result of the vote was 18 in favour.
Decision/Resolution: Motion Carried.

Cllr. Fintan Phelan left the meeting for the next vote and Cllr. John McDonald Leas Cathaoirleach took up the role as Chair in his absence.

Chapter 15 Town and Village Plans / Settlement Plans	
Carlow Town	
No. 109 (Lidl) (ii)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-13) Due to the nature of the proposed restrictions on the Neighbourhood Centre objective the submission considers that maintaining the Commercial / Residential zoning objective on the (extended) site would be more appropriate unless the proposed restrictions on the Neighbourhood Centre objective are addressed.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation: Adopt Proposed enlarged site subject to minor Amendment to include the extended area as commercial / residential.</p>

A roll call took place on the proposal of Cllr. A McDonald and seconded by Cllr. M. Doran as follows:

Member of Carlow County Council	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin				√
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton				√
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			√
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The result was 15 for 3 absent-

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

IV. Material Amendments – Submission Received.

Recommendation of Chief Executive – Not to Adopt.

Cllr. Fintan Phelan left the meeting for the next vote and Cllr. John McDonald Leas Cathaoirleach took up the role as Chair in his absence.

Chapter 15 Town and Village Plans / Settlement Plans	
Carlow Town	
No. 109(Lidl) (i)	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-13) Welcome the proposed realignment of the zoning boundaries to classify the proposed site extension area as the same zoning objective as the existing Lidl, which also reflects the pre-existing commercial nature of the area of the proposed site extension.</p> <p>The proposed designation / zoning of the extended Lidl site at Tullow Road as a Neighbourhood Centre is (conditionally) welcomed, subject to further revisions. In the absence of further revisions, the submission requests that the previous zoning objective of Commercial / Residential be reinstated in the adopted plan (maintaining the boundary realignment),</p> <p>Due to the nature of the proposed restrictions on the Neighbourhood Centre objective the submission considers that maintaining the Commercial / Residential zoning objective on the (extended) site would be more appropriate unless the proposed restrictions on the Neighbourhood Centre objective are addressed.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: The CE Report on the Draft Plan considered the issues pertaining to overall floor areas for neighbourhood centres and noted <i>The Retail Planning Guidelines, 2012 define Neighbourhood Centre as: "Comprising a small group of shops, typically comprising newsagent, small supermarket/general grocery store, sub-post office and other small shops of a local nature serving a small, localised catchment population." The limit of 1,200 sqm net floorspace contained in the Draft Development Plan for a convenience supermarket in a Neighbourhood Centre is considered to align with a 'small supermarket' to serve a localised catchment as per the stated provisions of the Retail Planning Guidelines, 2012.</i></p> <p>While it is noted that the designation of the site to neighbourhood centre was requested at the draft stage the restriction on overall floor area of 1200sqm is outlined as currently being exceeded on the subject site. Having regard to same it is considered appropriate to revert to the Draft Plan Zoning of Commercial / Residential to include the small extended area subject to Amendment no. 109. Any future application on this site will be considered in the context of the Retail Planning Guidelines 2012 (or as may be updated), the policies as contained within Chapter 4 pertaining to Retail and site-specific considerations which may apply in accordance with the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.</p> <p>It is recommended not to Adopt Proposed Zoning Amendment No. 109 to Neighbourhood Facilities / Centre (i.e., revert to commercial / residential as per Draft Plan).</p>

Cllr. J. McDonald outlined the amendment and advised on submission received with a recommendation of not to adopt.

Proposed Cllr. A. Dalton
Seconded Cllr.: T. O' Neill

Roll call was as follows:

Member of Carlow County Council	For	Against	Abstain	Absent
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin				√
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton			√	
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan				√
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace			√	

The result of the vote was 14 for 2 abstain and 2 absent.

Decision / Resolution: **Motion Carried**

V. Submission of the Office of the Planning Regulator

Ms. Anita Sweeney, Senior Planner briefed the Elected members on the Recommendation No. 1 – No. 5 received from the Office of the Planning Regulator. All of which will have individual votes.

Recommendation no. 1 – Residential Land Use Zoning Objectives

- No. 124 – (Proposed Residential and Strategic Reserve – Ballon)
- No. 130 - (Proposed change from Enterprise and Employment to Residential and Strategic Reserve – Borris)
- No. 131 – (Proposed change from unzoned to New Res – Borris)
- No. 134 (Hacketstown)

Recommendation no. 2 – Land Use Zoning Objectives

- No. 138 (Carrickduff – open space to new res) and No. 139 (Carrickduff – proposed res to agriculture) - linked amendments.
- No. 146 (Rathoe – proposed unzoned to residential and strategic reserve) and
- No. 147 (Rathoe- proposed residential to community / education) - linked amendments

Recommendation no. 3 – Industrial Land Use Zoning and Flood Risk Management

- No. 112 (New Acre)

Recommendation No. 4 – Residential Density Standards

- No. 13 (Density)

Recommendation No. 5 – Traveller Accommodation

- No. 14 (Mapping for Traveller Accommodation)

No. 124 (Proposed Residential and Strategic Reserve – Ballon).

Ballon	
<p>Recommendation no. 1 – Residential Land Use Zoning Objectives</p> <p>OPR</p> <p>No. 124 (Proposed Residential and Strategic Reserve - Ballon) *</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-30 (OPR) It is stated that the new residential zoning is not required in order to provide for the core strategy housing supply target of 82 units over the plan period which would be inconsistent with the objective for compact growth (NPO3 and RPO10) and sequential zoning having regard to more centrally zoned sites. No justification has likewise been provided for the strategic reserve which it is stated is not consistent with the draft DPG.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: The Planning Authority notes the content of Section 4.4.3 of the Draft Guidelines which outlines consideration to inform the allocation of additional provision. The site Amendment No. 124 has been reviewed with reference to the criteria identified (see Table 1 of the CE Report on Submissions). Having regard to the considerations contained in Table 1 together with the recommendation of the OPR, it is considered that the level of additional provision (32%) together with the location of more appropriately sited land sequentially proximate to the village centre that the Material Amendment No. 124 not be adopted.</p> <p>It is recommended that the proposed amendment no. 124 not be adopted.</p>

The Recommendation not to adopt Ballon Zoning Amendment no. 124 for the reasons outlined on page 13-15 of the CE Report was considered by the Elected Members. A discussion took place to reduce the overall new residential zoning to 1ha to provide for 10 number units and altering the village boundary accordingly excluding the remaining 1ha of new residential and the 2ha of strategic reserve. Support for the modification included the limited number of units proposed which amounted to an additional provision of 16% which was within the Draft Development Plan Guidelines of 20-25%, the location immediately adjoining the built edge of the settlement, the serviced nature of the site and the likelihood of the lands being developed within the period of the Plan.

The minor modification reducing the overall new residential zoning to 1ha to provide for 10 number units and altering the village boundary accordingly excluding the remaining 1ha of new residential and the 2ha of strategic reserve was proposed by Cllr McDonald and seconded by Cllr. John Murphy.

Proposed: Cllr. J. McDonald
Seconded Cllr. J. Murphy

Roll call took place as follows;

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin				√
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney				√
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			

Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton	√			
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace			√	

The result of the vote was 15 for 1 abstain and 2 absent

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

No. 129 (Proposed change from Enterprise and Employment to Residential and Strategic Reserve – Borris)

Borris	
<p><i>Recommendation no. 1 – Residential Land Use Zoning Objectives</i></p> <p>OPR</p> <p>No. 129 (Proposed change from Enterprise and Employment to Res and Strategic Reserve - Borris)*</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-30 (OPR) It is noted that the new residential zonings are located beyond the strategic reserve land to the south and land already zoned new residential in the draft plan and are inconsistent with the requirements for sequential zoning and compact growth. It is stated that the new residential zoning is not required in order to provide for the core strategy housing supply target of 62 units. It further notes that the SEA ER states that there is no planning justification for Amendment no. 129. No justification has likewise been provided for the strategic reserve to the north of amendment no. 129 which it is stated is not consistent with the Draft Development Plan Guidelines.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: The Planning Authority notes the content of Section 4.4.3 of the Draft Guidelines which outlines considerations to inform the allocation of additional provision. The site has been reviewed with reference to the criteria identified (see Table 2 and 3). Having regard to the considerations outlined in Table 2 of the CE Report on Submissions together with the recommendation of the OPR, it is considered that the location of the site outside of the built footprint of the town within an area characterised by enterprise and employment zoning, together with the requirement for infrastructural upgrade works to Bog Lane, the unsustainable travel patterns that would arise due to substandard connection to the town centre, that development of the site for residential purposes would be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area and would give rise to incompatible adjoining land uses. Accordingly, it is recommended that the proposed Material Amendment 129 not be adopted, and the lands revert to Enterprise and Employment as per the Draft Plan.</p>

Proposed: Cllr. M. Doran

Seconded: Cllr. W. Quinn

Roll call took place as follows:

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin		√		
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney				√
Thomas Kinsella			√	
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy		√		
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton		√		
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn		√		
Adrienne Wallace			√	

The result of this vote was 11 for 4 against 2 abstain and 1 absent.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

No. 130: (Proposed change from Strategic Reserve to low density residential – Borris).

Borris	
<p>Recommendation no. 1 – Land Use Zoning Objectives</p> <p>OPR</p> <p>No. 130 (Proposed change from Strategic Reserve to low density res – Borris) *</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-30 (OPR)) notes that the new residential zonings are located beyond the strategic reserve land to the south and land already zoned new residential in the draft plan and are inconsistent with the requirements for sequential zoning and compact growth. It is stated that the new residential zoning is not required in order to provide for the core strategy housing supply target of 62 units.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: The Planning Authority notes the content of Section 4.4.3 of the Draft Guidelines which outlines considerations to inform the allocation of additional provision. The site has been reviewed with reference to the criteria identified (see Table 2 and 3). Having regard to the considerations outlined in Table 3 of the CE report on submissions, it is considered that the limited scale of development proposed (2 no. units), the constraints to sequential development due to the location of Borris House to the south of the Main Street and given the constrained access arrangements to the strategic reserve backlands to the north of the Main Street which would require upgrade to facilitate significant development, it is considered that the proposed zoning for limited residential (2no. units) subject to upgrade in access arrangements etc would be acceptable and in accordance with the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.</p> <p>No change - It is recommended that the proposed amendment no. 130 be adopted.</p>

It was recommended to accept the chief Executive recommendation.

Proposed Cllr. T. Kinsella
Seconded: Cllr. A. McDonald

Roll call took place as follows:

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton	√			
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The result of this vote was 18 for.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

No. 131 – (Proposed change from unzoned to New Residential Borris)

Borris	
<p>Recommendation no. 1 – Land Use Zoning Objectives OPR</p> <p>No. 131 (Proposed change from unzoned to New Res- Borris) *</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-30 (OPR)) notes that notwithstanding the justification provided in the CE report regarding the location of the proposed new residential zoning in the vicinity of the school it notes that the zoning necessitates an extension to the settlement boundary which is stated is already extensive relative to the size of the small rural town, further into the open countryside. Accordingly, it is submitted that the zoning is therefore, inconsistent with the requirements for sequential zoning and compact growth. Having regard to the extent of land proposed to be zoned, it is submitted that the zoning is not required to provide the core strategy housing supply target of 62 houses.</p> <p>Submission (CLW-C14-4 (IW) also references <u>Amendment No 131</u> Irish Water note that a sequential approach should be adopted when zoning lands for development regarding a number of sites including lands at Amendment No 131 opposite the school to facilitate max. 10 no. units.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: The Planning Authority notes the content of Section 4.4.3 of the Draft Guidelines which outlines consideration to inform the allocation of additional provision. The site has been reviewed with reference to the criteria identified (see Table 4 of the CE Report on submissions received). Having regard to the considerations outlined in Table 4, it is considered that given the current constraints to development of zoned backland strategic reserve lands, the location of the site opposite the Secondary School and the Borris Viaduct, and the fact that the site is serviceable, it is considered that the zoning of the site for 10 no. units would be acceptable and in accordance with the proper planning and sustainable development of the area. The site would also provide an alternative to one-</p>

	<p>off housing in the rural countryside. The no. of units proposed (10 no.) in conjunction with Amendment no. 130 (2 no.) would result in an additional provision of 19% for Borris.</p> <p>No change - It is recommended that the proposed amendment no. 131 be adopted.</p>
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

At the meeting it was agreed to adopt the recommendation of no change.

Proposed: Cllr. T. Kinsella

Seconded: Cllr. A. McDonald

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton	√			
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The result of this vote was 18 for.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

No. 134 – Hacketstown (Proposed Residential)

Hacketstown
<p>Submission (CLW-C14-30 (OPR) It is stated that the new residential zoning is not required in order to provide for the core strategy housing supply target of 56 units over the plan period.</p> <p>Chief Executive's Recommendation: The Planning Authority notes the content of Section 4.4.3 of the Draft Guidelines which outlines consideration to inform the allocation of additional provision. The site has been reviewed with reference to the criteria identified (see Table 5). While it is acknowledged that zoning to accommodate the core strategy figure has been provided for Hacketstown, it is the view of the Planning Authority that some consideration to additional provision would be appropriate in the context of Hacketstown for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Hacketstown has experienced significant population decline over an extended period (16% decline between 1991-2016). - It has experienced significant economic decline with the closure of a number of businesses in the town; - Its designation as a disadvantaged area under the deprivation indices;

- The immediate rural environs are designated as RHP zone 2 where compliance with rural housing policy provision is not required, in such circumstances low-density high-quality housing would provide an appropriate alternative to one off rural housing providing for a more sustainable and viable settlement; and
- Sequentially the lands are appropriate for development, are adequately serviced and proximate and central to the settlement.

On the basis of the foregoing, it is recommended that the Amendment be adopted subject to a minor modification **Reducing the number of units permissible to 13 with a maximum zoned residential area of 1.5ha, to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Draft Development Plan Guidelines.**

It is recommended that Amendment no. 134 be adopted subject to a minor modification Reducing the number of units permissible to 13 with a maximum zoned residential area of 1.5ha, to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Draft Development Plan Guidelines.

A discussion took place on amendment No.134 and it was agreed by the members to adopt.

Proposed: Cllr. J. Pender
Seconded: Cllr. J. McDonald

Roll call took place as follows:

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella				√
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton	√			
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The result of this vote was 17 for 1 absent.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

No. 138 (Carrickduff – open space to new residential)

and

No. 139 (Carrickduff – proposed res to agriculture) - linked amendments.

Carrickduff	
Recommendation no. 2 – Land Use Zoning Objectives	Submission (CLW-C14-30 (OPR)) notes that certain amendments are linked to ensure no over provision of residential zonings occur. While this approach

<p>OPR</p> <p>No. 138 (Carrickduff – open space to new res) and No. 139 (Carrickduff – proposed res to agriculture) - linked amendments. *</p>	<p>is accepted it notes that it will be necessary to make the plan with all relevant amendments so that an excess of zoned land does not arise.</p> <p>The Office recommends that the Plan is either made with all relevant amendments:</p> <p><u>Carrickduff Amendment no. 138 and Amendment 139</u></p> <p>or revert to the draft Plan.</p> <p>Chief Executive’s Recommendation: Agreed. The intent of the linked amendments is to ensure no overprovision of residential zoning occurs as indicated in the submission of the OPR. The linked amendments are recommended to be made in full to ensure no over provision of residential is made for Carrickduff which would be contrary to the Core Strategy as provided in Chapter 2.</p> <p>No change. Adopt both linked Proposed Amendments: Carrickduff Amendment no. 138 and Amendment 139</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Following discussion, the members agreed to vote to adopt both linked proposed amendments Carrickduff Amendment no. 138 and 139.

Proposed: Cllr. C. Murphy
Seconded: Cllr. J. McDonald

Roll call took place as follows:

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton	√			
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The result of this vote was 18 for.
Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

**No. 146 (Rathoe – proposed unzoned to residential and strategic reserve)
And
No. 147 (Rathoe- proposed residential to community / education) - linked
amendments.**

Rathoe	
<p>Recommendation no. 2 – Land Use Zoning Objectives</p> <p>OPR No. 146 (Rathoe – proposed unzoned to residential and strategic reserve) and No. 147 (Rathoe- proposed residential to community / education) - linked amendments*</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-30) notes that certain amendments are linked to ensure no over provision of residential zonings occur. While this approach is accepted it notes that it will be necessary to make the plan with all relevant amendments so that an excess of zoned land does not arise.</p> <p>The Office recommends that the Plan is either made with all relevant amendments:</p> <p><u>Rathoe Amendment no. 146 and Amendment 147</u></p> <p>or revert to the draft Plan.</p> <p>Chief Executive’s Recommendation: Agreed. The intent of the linked amendments is to ensure no overprovision of residential zoning occurs as indicated in the submission of the OPR. The linked amendments are recommended to be made in full to ensure no over provision of residential is made for Rathoe which would be contrary to the Core Strategy as provided in Chapter 2</p> <p>No change. Adopt both linked Proposed Amendments: <u>Rathoe Amendment no. 146 and Amendment 147</u></p>

Following discussion, the members agreed to vote to adopt both linked proposed amendments Rathoe No. 146 and 147

Proposed: Cllr. J. McDonald
Seconded: Cllr J. Pender

Roll call took place as follows:

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O’Donoghue	√			
Tom O’Neill	√			
William Paton	√			
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The result of this vote was 18 for.
 Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

No. 112 (New Acre)

<p>Recommendation no. 3 –Industrial Land Use Zoning and Flood Risk Management</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-30 (OPR) notes that the proposed Amendment no. 112 to zone lands associated with an existing employment facility in the rural countryside.2.5km to the north of Carlow on lands located within Flood Zone A and B has not passed the Plan-Making Justification Test carried out by the Planning Authority. The Amendment is therefore noted as contrary to the Flood Risk Management Guidelines and inconsistent with NPO57 to avoid inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding. It further notes the recommendation of the OPW not to zone lands for vulnerable uses in flood risk areas that have not passed the Justification Test. It further notes that the SFRA recommends that the Plan be made without the subject amendment. The Planning Authority is required to make the Plan without the Amendment No. 112.</p> <p>Further submissions on this proposed zoning comprise: Submission (CLW-C14-7(OPW) Submission from the OPW notes that alteration number 112 zones a previously un-zoned area in Flood Zones A and B as less vulnerable Industrial. As part of the updated SFRA, a Plan Making Justification Test has been carried out for this alteration, which has not been passed. The OPW recommend not zoning land for vulnerable uses in flood risk areas that have not passed a Justification Test.</p> <p>Submission (CLW-C14-33 (DAU) The Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage express concerns regarding zoning of land for industry at New Acre within the floodplain of the River Barrow and River Nore SAC. Potential impacts of this proposed material alteration include loss of floodplain resulting, inter alia, in indirect negative water quality effects. Notes also that site failed Part 1 and 2 of the justification test. The SEA recommends that this amendment not be adopted.</p> <p>Submission (CLW-C14-4 (IW) Irish Water note that a sequential approach should be adopted when zoning lands for development regarding a number of sites including lands at New Acre subject of this Amendment.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: Agreed. The site is located in a rural area over 2.5km from the development boundary of Carlow Town Environs zoned area within an unserviced location. It accommodates an established business operating on site. The site is located partially within flood zone A and B and immediately adjoining a Special Area of Conservation. The site has not passed the justification test for zoning on the basis of the Flood Risk Management Guidelines. The submission of the OPR, OPW and the Department of Housing Local Government and Heritage all advise against the zoning of the site, referencing failure to pass the justification test and potential loss of floodplain resulting in inter alia indirect negative water quality effects. The SEA also recommends not to adopt where non-compliant with the Flood Risk Management Guidelines. Irish Water have also raised concern regarding the application of the sequential test for zoning for this site.</p> <p>Sufficient provision exists in the Plan under Policy RE P3 as contained in Chapter 14 to facilitate further development on this site as maybe deemed appropriate:</p> <p><i>Facilitate where deemed appropriate an extension of an existing established authorised rural based enterprise in the rural area provided that the scale and form of the enterprise is compatible with the character of the area, that there</i></p>
<p>OPR No. 112 (New Acre)</p>	

	<p><i>is capacity available in the local infrastructure to accommodate the expansion and that the development complies with other relevant objectives of this Plan, including normal planning and environmental criteria.</i></p> <p>Recommendation - Not to Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 112.</p>
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Cathaoirleach Fintan Phelan advised that further consideration was needed at this time to consult in more depth and the Carlow Town Joint Urban Plan was the appropriate place in which to do this. On the proposal of Cllr. K. Murnane and seconded by F Browne it was recommended to take a vote not to adopt proposed amendment No. 112. Voting took place as follows;

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney		√		
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton			√	
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The result of this vote was 16 for 1 against and 1 abstain
Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

No. 13 (Density)

<p>Recommendation No. 4 – Residential Density Standards</p> <p>OPR</p> <p>No. 13 (Density)*</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-30 (OPR) recommends a minor modification to density standards for edge of centre location to Carlow, Tullow and Muine Bheag to facilitate higher than 30units per hectare which will improve the ability of the local authority to achieve 30% compact growth within the built-up area and facilitate implementation of objectives for sustainable settlement and transport strategies for urban areas thereby contributing to the mitigation of climate change.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 13 subject to Minor Amendment subject to minor modification indicating that in excess of 30ha may be considered on appropriate sites in Carlow, Tullow and Muine Bheag subject to high quality design and layout.</p>						
<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Town / Village Centre</th> <th>Edge of Centre</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Carlow Town</td> <td>>35ha</td> <td>25-30*ha</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Town / Village Centre	Edge of Centre	Carlow Town	>35ha	25-30*ha
	Town / Village Centre	Edge of Centre					
Carlow Town	>35ha	25-30*ha					

	Tullow Muine Bheag	>30ha	20-30*ha
	Smaller Towns	25-30ha	20-25ha**
	Villages	20-25ha	10-20ha
<p>* Densities in excess of 30ha may be considered on appropriate edge of centre sites in Carlow, Tullow and Muine Bheag subject to high quality design and layout</p> <p>** Lower density residential development and serviced sites will be facilitated on appropriate sites within smaller towns and villages within the rural area in compliance with the programme for 'new homes in small towns and villages' NPO 18(b) .</p> <p>Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 13 subject to Minor Amendment</p>			

The Elected Members discussed Residential Density Standards and it was recommended to adopt proposed amendment No. 13.

Proposed: Cllr. A. McDonald
Seconded: Cllr. A. Dalton

The Vote took place as follows:

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton	√			
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The result of this vote was 18 for.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

No. 14 (Mapping for Traveller Accommodation)

<p>Recommendation No. 5 – Traveller Accommodation</p> <p>OPR</p>	<p>Submission (CLW-C14-30 (OPR) notes that the Scale of the Map in Amendment no. 14 is insufficient to enable the lands to be readily identifiable and does not appear to identify particular areas for the provision of future Traveller Accommodation, to reflect the Council's Traveller Accommodation Plan. The Office recommends a minor modification to the amendment by including the location of the sites on land use zoning maps where feasible.</p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p>No. 14 (Mapping for Traveller Accommodation)*</p>	<p>Notes the importance of reflecting existing facilities or future facilities aligning with the Carlow County Council Traveller Accommodation Programme (TAP) 2019-2024 and estimates of housing demand for the Traveller populations.</p> <p>Chief Executive Recommendation: Agreed. A revised map will be prepared for the Final CDP clearly identifying the location of existing traveller accommodation. One site is located within the land use zoning map for Carlow Town and will be identified on same. Any future sites identified as part of the Council's Traveller Accommodation Plan will be included in any forthcoming LAPs as appropriate.</p> <p>Adopt Proposed Amendment no. 14 subject to Minor Mapping Amendment</p>
------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The Elected Members agreed to accept the Chief Executive Recommendation.

Proposed: Cllr. F. Browne

Seconded: Cllr. A. Dalton

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin	√			
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton	√			
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The result of this vote was 18 for.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

After the consideration of the Chief Executive's Report and the Proposed Material Amendments to the Draft Plan and Environmental Reports prepared throughout the process. The Elected Members proposed the Resolution to Make the Carlow County Development Plan 2022 – 2028 as follows:

“We the members of Carlow County Council having considered the Draft Plan, the proposed material alterations and appendices which were placed on public display and the Chief Executive's Report on submissions received on the proposed material alterations which was circulated to the members on the 19th April 2022, together with SEA and AA reports prepared throughout the process, in accordance with the provisions of Section 12(10) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended), hereby resolve, to make the Carlow County Development Plan 2022-

2028, as recommended by the Chief Executive and as further modified by way of motions and resolutions at the Special Council Meeting on Monday 23rd May 2022 and to proceed in accordance with Section 12(12) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) to publish notice of the making of the plan.”

Proposed: Cllr. A. McDonald

Seconded: Cllr. M. Doran

The vote took place as follows:

<i>Member of Carlow County Council</i>	<i>For</i>	<i>Against</i>	<i>Abstain</i>	<i>Absent</i>
Fergal Browne	√			
John Cassin		√		
Andrea Dalton	√			
Michael Doran	√			
Andy Gladney	√			
Thomas Kinsella	√			
Arthur McDonald	√			
John McDonald	√			
Ken Murnane	√			
Charlie Murphy	√			
John Murphy	√			
Brian O'Donoghue	√			
Tom O'Neill	√			
William Paton		√		
John Pender	√			
Fintan Phelan	√			
William Quinn	√			
Adrienne Wallace	√			

The result of this vote was 16 for 2 against.

Decision/Resolution: **Motion Carried.**

This concluded the business of the meeting.